

## A63 Castle Street Improvement, Hull

### **DCO Documents Errata**

TR010016/APP/6.1 HE51408-MMSJV-GEN-S0-RP-ZH-000016 <u>27</u> August 2019



## A63 Castle Street Improvement, Hull

#### **DCO Documents Errata**

nevis	ion Record					
Rev No	Date	Originator	Checker	Approver	Status	Suitability
P01	10 May 2019	A Sadler	C Stott	J McKenna	S4	Shared
P02	1 July 2019	A Sadler	C Stott	J McKenna	S4	Shared
P03	5 Aug 2019	A Sadier	C Stott	J McKenna	S4	Shared
P04	27 Aug 2019	A Sadler	C Stott	J McKenna	<u>S4</u>	Shared

This document has been prepared on behalf of Highways England by Mott MacDonald Sweco JV for Highways England's Collaborative Delivery Framework (CDF). It is issued for the party which commissioned it and for specific purposes connected with the above-captioned project only. It should not be relied upon by any other party or used for any other purpose. Mott MacDonald Sweco JV accepts no responsibility for the consequences of this document being relied upon by any other party, or being used for any other purpose, or containing any error or omission which is due to an error or omission in data supplied to us by other parties.

This document contains confidential information and proprietary intellectual property. It should not be shown to other parties without consent from Highways England.

Prepared for: Highways England Lateral 8 City Walk Leeds LS11 9AT

Prepared by:

Mott MacDonald Sweco JV Stoneham Place, Stoneham Lane Southampton, Hampshire SO50 9NW



Co	ntents	Page
1	Introduction	2
2	6.1 Environmental Statement Volume 1 Main Text (APP-023)	3
3	6.2 Environmental Statement Volume 2 Figures 2.5.4 & 2.5.6 (APP-025)	53
4	6.2 Environmental Statement Volume 2 Figure 10.2 (APP-036)	56
5	6.2 Environmental Statement Volume 2 Figure 15.2 (APP-040)	58
6	6.7 Ecology and Nature Conservation Assessment (APP-065)	60
7	6.11 Register of Environmental Actions and Commitments (APP-068)	62
8	7.1 Planning Statement (APP-070)	64
9	7.3 Outline Environmental Management Plan (APP-072)	65
10	7.4 Transport Assessment Report (APP-073)	67



Deleted: 3

#### 1 Introduction

1.1.1 This Errata lists amendments to the Development Consent Order (DCO) documents which formed the A63 Castle Street Improvement, Hull application submitted to the Planning Inspectorate in September 2018. The Errata focuses upon corrections as opposed to typographical errors. Documents are presented in the order with which they were submitted for DCO.

# 2 6.1 Environmental Statement Volume 1 Main Text (APP-023)

Table 2.1: Environmental Statement Volume 1 Main Text

Page	Paragraph/ Table	Published text	Correction
37	2.5.2	In totality the areas measure approximately: Option A 332,534m² (Arco) and Option B 332,157m² (Staples), which is around 33 hectares (see Sections Error! Reference source not found, to Error! Reference source not found, for more details).	In totality the areas measure approximately: Option A 330,430m² (Arco) and Option B 332,157m² (Staples), which is around 33 hectares (see Sections Error! Reference source not found, to Error! Reference source not found, for more details).
37	2.5.3	The permanent area of land required for the footprint of the Scheme (excluding the land needed temporarily during construction) measures approximately 79.926m². The current land use for the permanent footprint for the Scheme is primarily the existing road, associated footways, cycleways, roadside verges and central reserve. The realignment of Mytongate Junction and the addition of slip roads however require additional permanent land take from the following sites as shown on Volume 2, Figure 2.3 Scheme Site Boundary. The approximate areas are as follows:  • Arco Ltd - 3,501m² • Staples - 10m² • Kingston Retail Park - 937m² • Trinity Burial Ground - 2,632m² • Holday Inn - 2,249m²	The permanent area of land required for the footprint of the Scheme (excluding the land needed temporarily during construction) measures approximately 79.704m². The current land use for the permanent footprint for the Scheme is primarily the existing road, associated footways, cycleways, roadside verges and central reserve. The realignment of Mytongate Junction and the addition of stlip roads however require additional permanent land take from the following sites as shown on Volume 2, Figure 2.3 Scheme Site Boundary. The approximate areas are as follows:  • Arco Ltd - 3,502m² • Staples - 10m² • Kingston Retail Park - 822m² • Trinity Burial Ground - 2,632m² • Holiday Inn - 2,249m²
38	2.5.4	Land requiring permanent rights of access for maintenance and easement on land other than the public highway (in the vicinity of the Arco site and at Humber Dock Marina), totals approximately 23,551m².	Land requiring permanent rights of access for maintenance and easement on land other than the public highway (in the vicinity of the Arco site and at Humber Dock Marina), totals approximately 5,138m².
38	2.5.5	The Scheme Site also includes the land required temporarily to construct the Scheme. This land measures approximately 232,420m². It includes the sites of the Myton Centre (approximately 4,400m²), Earl de Grey public house and Castle Buildings (approximately 968m²) and an area within the Humber Dock Marina (approximately 8,463m²).	The Scheme Site also includes the land required temporarily to construct the Scheme. This land measures approximately 233,291m². It includes the sites of the Myton Centre (approximately 4,312m²), Earl de Grey public house and Castle Buildings (approximately 961m²) and an area within the Humber Dock Marina (approximately 8,463m²).
49	2.6.38	The bridge deck width would be 3m to allow for un-segregated foot and cycle use.	The bridge deck width would be 3m between parapets to allow for unsegregated foot and cycle use.

Page	Paragraph/ Table	Published text	Correction
53	2.6.50	A combined footway and cycleway along the length of both sides of the A63 would be provided as shown on Volume 2. Figure 2.5 Sheets 2, 3 and 5 The Scheme proposals. The shared facility would generally be 3m wide, however there are some locations where space is restricted and the width would be reduced to a minimum of 2m as follows:  • between Castle Buildings and Princes Quay car park on the north side of the A63 for approximately 55m  • in front of Warehouse No. 6 (Ask restaurant) on the north side of the A63 for approximately 25m  • in front of Humber Dock Marina, Holiday Inn and Trinity Burial Ground on the south side of the A63 for approximately 400m  • adjacent to Kingston Retail Park and in front of Arco on the south side of the A63 for approximately 450m	A combined footway and cycleway along the north side of the A63 and along Blackfriargate would be provided as shown on Volume 2, Figure 2.5 Sheets 2 3 and 5 The Scheme proposals. The shared facility would generally be 3m wide, however there are some locations where space is restricted and the width would be reduced to a minimum of 2m as follows:  • in front of Castle Buildings for approximately 25m  • from Castle Buildings east to the rear of Princes Quay car park for approximately 122m  • from Castle Buildings west to the end of the Earl de Grey public house for approximately 55m
66	2.9.6	There is no traffic management requirement for phase 0.	Delete text
317	Table 10.4 Non- statutory designated sites (row 13)	Duty Pro-Michael Street	Remove row 13 from table
335	Table 10.8 Summary of valuation of ecological receptors, Ecological receptor column (row 3)	Trinity Burial Ground SNCI, River Hull SNCI	Trinity Burial Ground SNCI, River Hull SNCI, Mudflats to the south of Sammy's Point SNCI
340	10.7.17	River Hull SNC! Direct impacts to the River Hull SNC! are unlikely.	River Hull SNCI and Mudflats to the south of Sammy's Point SNCI Direct impacts to the River Hull SNCI and Mudflats to the south of Sammy's Point SNCI are unlikely.
347	10.7.54	River Hull SNCI Road drainage would not discharge to the River Hull during the Operation Phase and there would therefore be no risks to water quality within the river.	River Hull SNCI and Mudflats to the south of Sammy's Point SNCI Road drainage would not discharge to the River Hull during the Operation Phase and would not impact upon the River Hull SNCI or Mudflats to the south of Sammy's



Paragraph/ **Published text** Correction Point SNCI. There would therefore be no risks to water quality within the river. 351 Table 10.9 Replace Table 10.9 with revised Table 10.9 below. Impacts are separated into a Characteris column for construction and a column for ation process of operation as requested in WQ1.2.6 ecological (new/revised text in red). impacts Replacement table also takes into account changes arising from mudflats to the south of Sammy's Point SNCI as requested in WQ1.2.2 (new/revised text in 366 10.8.11 River Hull SNCI River Hull SNCI and Mudflats to the south of Sammy's Point SNCI Neutral residual impacts are predicted to the River Hull SNCI during the Neutral residual impacts are predicted to Construction Phase, following the implementation of pollution protection the River Hull SNCI and Mudflats to the south of Sammy's Point SNCI during the Construction Phase, following the mitigation measures. implementation of pollution protection mitigation measures. 369 10.8.31 River Hull SNCI River Hull SNCI and Mudflats to the south of Sammy's Point SNCI With no increase in noise or air With no increase in noise or air pollution pollution and no water discharges into this river, there is predicted to be and no water discharges into this river, neutral residual impacts to the SNCI there is predicted to be neutral residual impacts to these SNCIs during operation. during operation. 372 Table 10.10 River Hull SNCI River Hull SNCI and Mudflats to the south Summary of Sammy's Point SNCI ecological receptors, **Ecological** receptor column (row 4) 378 Table 10.10 Aquatic Invertebrates Humber Estuary Aquatic Invertebrates Humber Estuary Summary ecological River Hull SNCI **Biver Hull SNCI** receptors, **Ecological** receptor Mudflats to the south of Sammy's Point column SNCI (row 11) 11.1.6 Impacts ranging from large / very large Impacts ranging from very large beneficial to very large adverse significance during beneficial to very large adverse significance during construction include construction include the alteration of the alteration of ground elevations, ground elevations, which has the potential which has the potential to alter flood to alter flood routes depending on the routes depending on the scale and scale and source of the flooding and the

Deleted: 3

phase of construction. Impacts can be of

depending on the location. Management

of flood risk during construction would be

adverse or beneficial significance

source of the flooding and the phase of

construction. Impacts can be of adverse

or beneficial significance depending on

the location. Management of flood risk

Page	Paragraph/ Table	Published text	Correction
		during construction would be outlined in the OEMP and would include use of the Environment Agency's Flood Warning service.	outlined in the OEMP (including the Flood Emergency Plan (FEP)) and would include use of the Environment Agency's Flood Warning service
386	11.1.10	Alteration of ground elevations as a result of the Scheme result in a complex pattern of flooding impacts on the Humber floodplain primarily related to the presence of the underpass and the raising of road levels to the east and west of the underpass. Operation flood risk impacts range from large / very large beneficial to very large adverse significance depending on the location on the floodplain and the source and extent of the flooding.	Alteration of ground elevations as a result of the Scheme result in a complex pattern of flooding impacts on the Humber floodplain primarily related to the presence of the underpass and the raising/lowering of road levels to the east and west of the underpass. Operation flood risk impacts range from very large beneficial to very large adverse significance depending on the location on the floodplain and the source, extent and severity of the flooding.
	11.1.11	There is an existing procedure in place whereby flood alerts from the Environment Agency are issued to the Highways England Emergency Planning team who consider an appropriate response, for example, the closure of the underpass. This response would be implemented by the local emergency services. This procedure has been updated and amended to reflect the particular requirements of flooding of the underpass. The revised procedure was written in consultation with relevant stakeholders including Highways England, the emergency services and the Humber Local Resilience Forum.	There is an existing procedure in place whereby flood alerts from the Environment Agency are issued to the Highways England Emergency Planning team who consider an appropriate response, for example, the closure of the underpass. This response would be implemented by the local emergency services. This procedure has been updated and amended to reflect the particular requirements of flooding of the underpass. The revised procedure was written in consultation with relevant stakeholders including Highways England, the emergency services and the Humber Local Resilience Forum. The updated procedures include measures to enable physical closure of the underpass during flood events, including those events with minimal or no warning, such as a flood defence breach.
	11.4.9	The Environment Agency, to discuss existing flood risk information including flood models; agree the approach to, and discuss the outcomes of, the flood risk assessment (FRA) (including the agreement on which flood scenarios to assess) and the water quality impact assessment; and to consult on the mitigation measures for flood risk and water quality impacts from the proposed discharge into the Humber. The Environment Agency was also consulted on the approach to and findings of the groundwater assessment, as well as the groundwater modelling approach. Following a meeting in August 2018 subsequent to a review of the draft	The Environment Agency, to discuss existing flood risk information including flood models; agree the approach to, and discuss the outcomes of, the flood risk assessment (FRA) (including the agreement on which flood scenarios to assess) and the water quality impact assessment; and to consult on the mitigation measures for flood risk and water quality impacts from the proposed discharge into the Humber. The Environment Agency was also consulted on the approach to and findings of the groundwater assessment, as well as the groundwater modelling approach. Following a meeting in August 2018 subsequent to a review of the draft



Page	Paragraph/ Table	Published text	Correction
		FRA, the Environment Agency requested additional information on flood risk to be provided at a later date. These additional requirements are summarised in Volume 3, Appendix 11.9 Additional flood risk assessment information requirements.	FRA, the Environment Agency requested additional information on flood risk to be provided at a later date. These additional requirements are summarised in Volume 3, Appendix 11.9 Additional flood risk assessment information requirements. Detailed and regular consultation with the Environment Agency was ongoing prior to and during the DCO Examination process, the Statement of Common Ground¹ provides details of all consultation with the Environment Agency including the provision of additional information as outlined in Volume 3, Appendix 11.9 Additional flood risk information.
402	11.4.19	Consultation has taken place with HCC and the Environment Agency throughout the FRA to agree the scope of the assessment, the flood scenarios to be considered and to review the results of the impact assessment and discuss mitigation measures including emergency procedures.	Consultation has taken place with HCC and the Environment Agency prior to the DCO application and during the subsequent DCO Examination process to agree the scope of the assessment, the flood scenarios to be considered and to review the results of the impact assessment and discuss mitigation measures including emergency procedures. The consultation with the Environment Agency also highlighted the requirement to consider extreme (H++)² and the recently released UKCP18³ climate change allowances. Furthermore, the Environment Agency requested specific consideration of the impacts of flood defences breaches on the Scheme. Details of this consultation are provided in the relevant Statement of Common Ground¹ and a list of the additional information provided is given in ES Volume 3, Appendix 11.9 Additional flood risk information.
403	11.4.20	The Environment Agency requested additional information on flood risk to be provided at a later date; these requirements are summarised in Volume 3, Appendix 11.9 Additional flood risk assessment information requirements.	The Environment Agency requested additional information on flood risk to be provided following the publication of the FRA with the DCO application. These requirements are summarised in Volume 3, Appendix 11.9 Additional flood risk assessment information requirements.

Highways England's A63 Castle Street Improvement, Hull, TR010016, Statement of Common Ground (SoCG) with the Environment Agency
Environment Agency (2016). Adapting to Climate Change: Advice for Flood and Coastal Erosion Risk Management Authorities
Met Office (2019).UK Climate Projections (UKCP18). https://www.metoffice.gov.uk/research/collaboration/ukcp



Page	Paragraph/ Table	Published text	Correction
403	Table 11.4: Flooding scenarios considered in the FRA	Tico undershoot, fluorit-under 8 years to the termination of the termi	These services core age leads to congress to the \$1.00 to \$2.00 to
403	Table 11.4: Flooding scenarios considered in the FRA	n/a	Add row:  Harder 19th basis prince 19th basis pr
417	11.5,38	According to the SFRA, the city of Hull, and the Scheme are protected from flooding by the existing Humber Estuary and River Hull flood defences. The Humber Estuary defences generally provide a standard level of protection to a 1 in 200-year event, but in some areas, for example, to the east of Albert Dock East the level of protection falls to a 1 in 5-year event. New flood defences have been installed in Albert Dock (completed in November 2015) which provide a standard level of protection to a 1 in 200-year event. The River Hull defences generally provide a standard level of protection greater than a 1 in 200-year event.	According to the SFRA, the city of Hull, and the Scheme are protected from flooding by the existing Humber Estuary and River Hull flood defences. The Humber Estuary defences generally provide a standard level of protection to a 1 in 200-year event, but in some areas, for example, to the east of Albert Dock East the level of protection falls to a 1 in 5-year event. New flood defences have been installed in Albert Dock (completed in November 2015) which provide a standard level of protection to a 1 in 200-year event. The River Hull defences generally provide a standard level of protection greater than a 1 in 200-year event. The Environment Agency currently have a scheme under construction (the
			Humber Hull Frontages <sup>4</sup> ) to install and upgrade 7km of flood defences on the north bank of the Humber at Hull from St. Andrew's Quay to Victoria Dock. This scheme will improve the standard of protection to the defences in the study area to 1 in 200 years plus an allowance for climate change to 2040. Climate change effects beyond 2040 will be addressed through a 'managed adaptive' approach with the defences designed and constructed to facilitate easier upgrades in the future. The Humber Hull Frontages scheme is scheduled for completion in March 2021.
418	11.5.42	Predictions from the flood risk model developed for Volume 3 Appendix 11.2 Flood risk assessment confirm that under baseline conditions:  There are some isolated areas of minor surface water flooding to the north and east of the Scheme. There	Predictions from the flood risk model developed for Volume 3 Appendix 11.2 Flood risk assessment confirm that under baseline conditions:  There are some isolated areas of minor surface water flooding to the north and east of the Scheme. There

<sup>\*</sup> https://consult.environment-agency.gov.uk/yorkshire/humber-hull-frontages/

Page	Paragraph/ Table	Published text	Correction
		was no predicted surface water flooding within the Scheme area.	was no predicted surface water flooding within the Scheme area.
		In the vicinity of the Scheme Site, predicted flooding under a 1 in 200-year return period wave overtopping event from the Humber Estuary reaches the periphery of the Scheme area resulting in flooding to the west and south of Mytongate Junction and parts of Kingston Retail Park. This assumes the existing Humber flood defences are in place and the Albert Dock gate is closed.	Predicted flooding under a 1 in 200- year return period wave overtopping event from the Humber Estuary reaches the Scheme area resulting in flooding of the A63 to the east of Mytongate Junction and parts of Kingston Retail Park. During such an event, the underpass would be flooded. This assumes the existing Humber flood defences are in place and the Albert Dock gate is closed.
		Without the Humber north bank flood defences, the extent of flooding under a 1 in 200-year return period tidal event is widespread with significant areas of Hull affected. Flood depths reach a maximum of 1.2m along the existing A63.	Without the Humber north bank flood defences, the extent of flooding under a 1 in 200-year return period tidal (i.e. undefended) event is widespread with significant areas of Hull and all of the Scheme site area affected. Flood depths reach a maximum of 0,38m
		The failure of the Hull Tidal Surge Barrier to close would result in extensive flooding west of the River Hull (the flood risk model does not consider the area to the east of the River Hull) under a tidal event with a return period of 1 in 200-years. The	along the existing A63.  The failure of the Hull Tidal Surge Barrier to close would result in extensive flooding west of the River Hull (the flood risk model does not consider the area to the east of the River Hull) under a tidal event with a
		A63 east of Mytongate Junction is flooded up to a maximum of 1m in places with flooding extending north of Mytongate Junction to Ferensway and Anlaby Road. It is noted that the failure of the Hull Tidal Surge Barrier to close during a high tide event is extremely unlikely as it is fitted with a system to automatically close the barrier if the power fails.	return period of 1 in 200-years. The A63 to east of Mytongate Junction is flooded up to a maximum of 0.47m in places with flooding extending north of Mytongate Junction to Ferensway and Anlaby Road. It is noted that the failure of the Hull Tidal Surge Barrier to close during a high tide event is extremely unlikely as it is fitted with a system to automatically close the barrier if the power fails.
439	11.6.17	Mitigation of extreme flooding impacts from tidal, fluvial and pluvial sources during construction should be considered in the OEMP. The construction of the underpass would create excavations where construction	Mitigation of extreme flooding impacts from tidal, fluvial and pluvial sources during construction should be considered in the OEMP and detailed in a Flood Emergency Plan (FEP) prior to construction. The construction of the
		workers and plant would be at risk. Standby temporary pumping arrangements may be required to remove any flood water and this would be subject to best practice guidance to control discharges to sewer or surface waters. Emergency and evacuation procedures would be incorporated into the OEMP in response to all sources of flooding and would include use of the Environment Agency Flood Warning service.	underpass would create excavations where construction workers and plant would be at risk. Standby temporary pumping arrangements may be required to remove any flood water and this would be subject to best practice guidance to control discharges to sewer or surface waters. Emergency and evacuation procedures would be incorporated into the OEMP and FEP in response to all sources of flooding and would include use



Page	Paragraph/ Table	Published text	Correction
			of the Environment Agency Flood Warning service.
442	11.6.35	For extreme tidal flooding events such as those witnessed on 5 December 2013, there is an existing procedure in place whereby flood alerts from the Environment Agency are issued to the Highways England Emergency Planning team at the North East Regional Control Centre (NERCC) who consider an appropriate response, for example, the closure of the underpass. This procedure is currently being reviewed for the Scheme. The Flood Emergency Evacuation Plan Report is appended to Volume 3, Appendix 11.2 Flood risk assessment. A summary of the key aspects of the Plan are provided below:  Upon receipt of a flood alert, personnel from the Area Maintenance Team (AMT) and key assets (including a high-volume pump owned by Highways England) will be put on 'standby' for deployment.  Upon receipt of a flood warning, the NERCC will monitor the underpass via CCTV, variable message signs (VMS) will be activated to direct	For extreme tidal flooding events such as those witnessed on 5 December 2013, there is an existing procedure in place whereby flood alerts from the Environment Agency are issued to the Highways England Emergency Planning team at the North East Regional Control Centre (NERCC) who consider an appropriate response, for example, for the closure of the underpass. This procedure has been reviewed and revised (in consultation with the Environment Agency, Hull City Council, the emergency services and Highways England) for the Scheme. The Flood Emergency Evacuation Plan Report is appended to Volume 3, Appendix 11.2 Flood risk assessment. A summary of the key aspects of the Plan are provided below:  Upon receipt of a flood alert, personnel from the Area Maintenance Team (AMT) and key assets (including a temporary, mobile, high-volume pump owned by Highways England) will be put on 'standby' for deployment.
7		traffic away from the underpass and personnel from the AMT will be moved closer to the underpass to put in place a physical road closure, if required.  Upon receipt of a severe flood warning, the high-volume pump will	NERCC will monitor the underpass via CCTV, variable message signs (VMS) will be activated to direct traffic away from the underpass and personnel from the AMT will be moved closer to the underpass to put in place a physical road closure, if required.
	þ.	be moved to the underpass and a physical road closure will be put in place by the AMT personnel. VMS will direct traffic away from the underpass and long pre-agreed strategic diversion routes. The underpass will be monitored via CCTV.	Upon receipt of a severe flood warning, the high-volume pump will be moved to the underpass and a physical road closure will be put in place by the AMT personnel. VMS will direct traffic away from the underpass and long pre-agreed strategic diversion routes. The underpass will
		All relevant measures outlined above would remain in place until a 'Warnings no longer in force' notification is issued by the Environment Agency.  The undermass numering station.	<ul> <li>be monitored via CCTV,</li> <li>The FEEP also includes procedures to be rapidly put in place and the underpass closed, in the event of a minimal or no warning flood event such as a defence breach.</li> </ul>
- , V		<ul> <li>The underpass pumping station would have high volume alarms to alert the NERCC to pump failure, which would trigger the above closure responses, if required. This would only be required in the event of a failure of all other warnings and</li> </ul>	All relevant measures outlined above would remain in place until a "Warnings no longer in force" notification is issued by the Environment Agency. During the recovery phase, the temporary, high-



Page	Paragraph/ Table	Published text	Correction
		would provide a last chance warning of flooding of the underpass.  The plan would be under the ownership of Highways England with a review every 2 years.	volume pumps will be deployed in combination with the underpass surface water pumping station to drain the underpass of flood waters. Following this, the AMT will clear the carriageway and an assessment will be made as to whether the underpass can safely be re-opened to traffic.  The underpass pumping station would have high volume alarms to alert the NERCC to pump failure, which would trigger the above closure responses, i required. This would only be required in the event of a failure of all other warnings and would provide a last chance warning of flooding of the underpass.
			The plan would be under the ownership of Highways England with a review every 3 years.
443	11.6.36	The flood defences at Albert Dock have been upgraded by the Environment Agency in 2015 which provides a 1 in 100 to 1 in 200-year standard of protection. Furthermore, there are current proposals to upgrade remaining sections of the Humber North Bank flood defences as part of the £42m Humber Hull Frontages projects. The standard of protection of the Scheme would be for a return period of 1 in 200 years with an allowance for climate change to the 2040s. The remaining climate change allowance would be accounted for with a 'managed adaptive approach' which would allow for easier upgrading of the defences in the future. Further details will be provided in line with Volume 3, Appendix 11.9 Additional flood risk information requirements.	The flood defences at Albert Dock have been upgraded by the Environment Agency in 2015 which provides a 1 in 100 to 1 in 200-year standard of protection. Furthermore, the Humber Hull Frontages <sup>4</sup> defence upgrade scheme is currently under construction and scheduled for completion in 2021. The standard of protection of the Scheme would be increased to a return period of 1 in 200 years with an allowance for climate change to the 2040s. The remaining climate change allowance would be accounted for with a 'managed adaptive approach' which would allow for easier upgrading of the defences in the future. Further details are provided in line with Volume 3, Appendix 11.2 Flood risk assessment.
455	Table 11.15: Significanc e of	n/a	Amend Table 11.15 row "Changes in flood flow routes due to alteration of ground elevations and construction of structures" as below. (New text in red).
	potential residual impacts on surface water features during constructio n		
486	11.7.68	A summary of the impacts is provided in Table 11,16 for scenarios with the greatest impact for a given flooding	A summary of the impacts is provided in Table 11.18 for scenarios with the greatest impact for a given flooding

-1	Do	1-4	 ١.	

Page	Paragraph/ Table	Published text	Correction
<b>B</b>		source. The magnitude of the impact is defined in Table 11.2 and significance in Table 11.3. Climate change impacts are discussed in Section 11.8.	source. The magnitude of the impact is defined in Table 11.2 and significance in Table 11.3. Climate change impacts are discussed in Section 11.8. Furthermore, the spatial pattern and magnitude of impact is dependent on the inclusion or exclusion of the central vertical concrete barrier (VCB) along the mainline within the Scheme. Table 11.18 presents a summary of impacts for both scenarios, i.e. VCB included in the Scheme and VCB excluded from the Scheme. The assessment provided in the paragraphs below is based on scenarios with the VCB included.
487	Table 11.18 Summary of magnitude of peak	n/a	Replace Table 11.18 with revised Table 11.18 below
489	11.7.70	Flooding to the Scheme from a 1 in 200- year return period wave overtopping event from the Humber is predicted to reach the periphery of the Scheme study area, which would result in some increased flooding in Queen's Gardens resulting in an impact of moderate adverse magnitude (Table 11.18).	During a 1 in 200-year return period wave overtopping event from the Humber flooding is predicted to reach the Scheme area and flood the A63 carriageway east of Mytongate Junction as well as flooding the underpass itself. Areas to the north and south of the eastern extent of the Scheme as well as Queen's Gardens and Kingston Retail Park would have increased flooding resulting in an impact of major adverse magnitude (Table 11.18).
490	11.7.71	Conversely to the above, the Scheme decreases maximum predicted flood depths within the boundary of the Scheme Site resulting in an impact of minor beneficial magnitude (Table 11.18).	Conversely to the above, the Scheme decreases maximum predicted flood depths within the boundary of the Scheme Site as well as in areas to the north of Mytongate Junction resulting in an impact of major beneficial magnitude (Table 11.18).
490	11.7.72	Flooding from a wave overtopping event from the Humber for a 1 in 1000-year event is predicted to extend north of the Scheme Site beyond Hull Royal Infirmary and to flood the proposed underpass. Under this scenario, predicted maximum flood depths in the underpass structure and westbound exit slip road are 6m and 2.05m respectively; an impact of major adverse magnitude (Table 11.18). There is a predicted increase in flood depth in the Kingston Retail Park car park under the Scheme scenario of 0.40m; an impact of minor adverse magnitude (Table 11.18). The proposed underpass would prevent some flood water extending northwards	Flooding from a wave overtopping event from the Humber for a 1 in 1000-year event is predicted to extend north of the Scheme Site beyond Hull Royal Infirmary and to flood the proposed underpass. Under this scenario, predicted maximum flood depths in the underpass structure and westbound exit slip road are 5.8m and 2.05m respectively; an impact of major adverse magnitude (Error! Reference source not found.). There is a predicted increase in flood depth, in the Kingston Retail Park car park under the Scheme scenario of 0.30m; an impact of major adverse magnitude (Error! Reference source not found.). Increases in flood depth of a major

Page	Paragraph/	Published text	Correction
	Table	past Mytongate Junction resulting in a decrease in predicted flood depth by up to 0.1m in the area between Anlaby Road and Castle Street; an impact of moderate beneficial magnitude (Table 11.18). Impacts of minor beneficial magnitude also occur within the Scheme Site Boundary (not including the underpass and westbound exit slip road) due to an increase in ground levels. Impacts of minor beneficial magnitude also occur in areas to the north-west of St Stephen's Shopping Centre.	adverse magnitude are also present south of the Scheme to the west of the underpass (around Waverley Street and Kingston Retail Park) and moderate to major adverse magnitude to the south of the eastern extent of the Scheme (around Blanket Row and Blackfriargate). The proposed underpass would prevent some flood water extending northwards past Mytongate Junction resulting in a decrease in predicted flood depth by up to 0.2m in the area around Myton Street; an impact of major beneficial magnitude (Error! Reference source not found.). Impacts of major beneficial magnitude also occur within the Scheme Site Boundary (not including the underpass and westbound exit slip road) due to an increase in ground levels. Impacts of major beneficial magnitude also occur in areas to the of the Scheme including Princes Dock, Market Place and the
490	11.7.73	Tidal flooding of the Scheme from the River Hull could occur in the event of the Hull Tidal Surge Barrier failing to close. This is unlikely as it incorporates a system to automatically close the barrier in the event of a power failure. However, if the barrier failed to close, under a 1 in 200-year event the underpass structure would be flooded to a predicted maximum depth of 3.4m and the westbound diverging slip road would be flooded to a maximum depth of 0.65m; both impacts of major adverse magnitude (Errorl Reference source not found.). Consequently, the presence of the underpass has the effect of preventing flood flows reaching the area north and west of Mytongate Junction, particularly around the Junction of Ferensway and Anlaby Road as well as the area south of Mytongate Junction (Kingston Retail Park car park and Trinity Burial Grounds) removing flood waters in both of these locations, resulting in an impact of moderate beneficial magnitude (Errorl Reference source not found.). There would be a predicted increase in maximum flood depths in the Humber and Railways Docks resulting in an impact of minor adverse magnitude (Errorl Reference source not found.). Consequently, flood flows are diverted towards the	surrounding streets.  Tidal flooding of the Scheme from the River Hull could occur in the event of the Hull Tidal Surge Barrier failing to close, This is unlikely as it incorporates a system to automatically close the barrier in the event of a power failure. However, if the barrier failied to close, under a 1 in 200-year event the underpass structure would be flooded to a predicted maximum depth of 3,4m and the westbound diverging slip road would be flooded to a maximum depth of 0.65m; both impacts of major adverse magnitude (Error! Reference source not found.). Consequently, the presence of the underpass has the effect of preventing flood flows reaching the area north and west of Mytongate Junction, particularly around the Junction of Ferensway and Anlaby Road as well as the area south of Mytongate Junction (Kingston Retail Park car park and Trinity Burial Grounds) removing flood waters in both of these locations, resulting in an impact of major beneficial magnitude (Error! Reference source not found.). There would be a predicted increase in maximum flood depths in Princes Dock resulting in an impact of major adverse magnitude although levels in the Humber and Railway Docks would be reduced resulting in an impact of major beneficial magnitude (Error! Reference source not found.). Streets to the north and south of

Page	Paragraph/ Table	Published text	Correction				
		predicted maximum flood depth of 0.60m in the water body; an impact of moderate adverse magnitude (Error! Reference source not found.).	flood depth increases ranging from moderate to major adverse magnitude.				
		The predicted impact of the Scheme on tidal flooding from the River Hull under a 1 in 1000-year event with the Hull Tidal Surge Barrier failing to close results in the same impact magnitudes as described above for the 1 in 200-year event. The more extensive flooding (greater predicted flood depths) in this event result in the flooding of Humber and Railway docks, with an increase in predicted flood depth of 1.03m under the Scheme scenario; an impact of major adverse magnitude (Error! Reference source not found.). Under this scenario, the proposed underpass is completely flooded with flood waters beginning to extend westwards along the A63. However, the extent of beneficial effects is greater south of the existing A63, in Kingston Retail Park and areas to the north of the A63 around St Luke's Street and Osborne Street resulting in an impact of moderate beneficial magnitude.	The predicted impact of the Scheme on tidal flooding from the River Hull under a 1 in 1000-year event with the Hull Tidal Surge Barrier failing to close results is similar to that described above for the 1 in 200-year event. Impacts of moderate and major adverse magnitude are predicted in areas to the south-east and south-west of the underpass respectively (Errorl Reference source not found.). Under this scenario, the proposed underpass is completely flooded with flood waters beginning to extend westwards along the A63. However, the extent of beneficial effects is greater in areas to the north of the A63 around St Luke's Street and Osbourne Street resulting in an impact of major beneficial magnitude. Under this scenario, there is no beneficial impact at Humber Dock Marina. The magnitude of the adverse impact is reduced to moderate adverse at Princes Dock compared to large adverse for the 1 in 200-year event.				
495		n/a	Amend Table 11.20 row "Alteration of flood flow routes due to the changes in ground levels and construction of structures" as below. (New text in red).				
503	11.8.1	Conversely, the impact of climate change on rising sea levels and wave height has significant effects on the flooding in Hull. Sea levels are predicted to increase by 1.125m between 2011 and 2125 and wave heights are expected to increase by 10%. When incorporating climate change impacts into the flood risk predictions for the 1 in 200-year return period wave overtopping from the Humber Estuary, the area of the flooding extends well beyond the boundaries of the Scheme Site reaching depths of up to 1.20m in the study area.	Conversely, the impact of climate change on rising sea levels and wave height has significant effects on the flooding in Hull. Sea levels are predicted to increase by 1.125m between 2011 and 2115 and wave heights are expected to increase by 10%. When incorporating climate change impacts into the flood risk predictions for the 1 in 200-year return period wave overtopping from the Humber Estuary, the area of the flooding extends well beyond the boundaries of the Scheme Site reaching depths of up to 1.20m in the study area.				



Page	Paragraph/ Table	Published text	Correction
504	11.8.1	n/a	Add as follows:
			Extreme (H++)² allowances for the effects of climate change on sea level rise were considered for 'undefended' tidal flooding from the Humber Estuary. The extent of flooding and magnitude of impacts as a result of the Scheme were similar to those for the 1 in 200-year undefended tidal flooding from the Humber Estuary.
			Revised climate change allowances for mean sea level rise were released in December 2018, known as UKCP18³. Further information on these allowances is provided in Volume 3 Appendix 11.2 Flood Risk Assessment.
507	11.10.1	An exception to this is that alterations of ground elevations during construction would alter flood flow routes and result in potential residual impacts ranging from very large adverse in some areas to large / very large beneficial significance in other areas on the Humber floodplain.	An exception to this is that alterations of ground elevations during construction would alter flood flow routes and result in potential residual impacts ranging from very large adverse in some areas to very large beneficial significance in other areas on the Humber floodplain.
508	11.10.6	There is an existing procedure in place whereby flood alerts from the Environment Agency are issued to the Highways England Emergency Planning team who consider an appropriate response, for example, the closure of the underpass. This response would be implemented by the local emergency services. This procedure has been updated and amended to reflect the particular requirements of flooding of the underpass. The revised procedure was written in consultation with relevant stakeholders including Highways England, the emergency services and the Humber Local Resilience Forum.	There is an existing procedure in place whereby flood alerts from the Environment Agency are issued to the Highways England Emergency Planning team who consider an appropriate response, for example, the closure of the underpass. This response would be implemented by the Area Maintenance Team on behalf of Highways England. This procedure has been updated and amended to reflect the particular requirements of flooding of the underpass. The revised procedure was written in consultation with relevant stakeholders including Highways England, the emergency services and the Humber Local Resilience Forum. These revised procedures, known as the Flood Emergency and Evacuation Plan, include measures to enable the safe and rapid physical closure of the underpass in the event of a minimal or no warning flood event, such as a flood defence breach.
592	Table 14.8 Private property and associated land take	1A. Arco Ltd Temporary land take at Arco Ltd (Option A): Option A would involve the site currently held by Arco Ltd being used as a bentonite farm / concrete batching plant	1A. Arco Ltd Temporary land take at Arco Ltd (Option A): Option A would involve the site currently held by Arco Ltd being used as a bentonite farm / concrete batching plant /

Page	Paragraph/ Table	Published text	Correction					
,	predicted effects/row 2	/ materials treatment / jet grouting compound. In this scenario, a total of 14,407m² temporary land take is likely to be required. This is the preferred site for the compound.	materials treatment / jet grouting compound. In this scenario, a total of 14,409m² temporary land take is likely to be required. This is the preferred site for the compound.					
5		(Option A): If the Arco site is used, it is anticipated that there will be approximately 3,501m² of permanent land take at Arco Ltd and 1,764m² of 'permanent rights' required.  (Option A): If the Arco site is used, it is anticipated that there will be approximately 3,502m² of permanent land take at Arco Ltd and 1,766m² of 'permanent rights' required.						
592	Private property and associated land take – predicted effects/row	Temporary land take at Staples site (Option A): Option A: If the Arco site is used, the Staples site would experience 71m² of temporary land take and the buildings would not be demolished.	Temporary land take at Staples site (Option A): Option A: If the Arco site is used, the Staples site would experience 108m <sup>a</sup> of temporary land take and the buildings would not be demolished.					
594	property and	Temporary land take at the Myton Centre: Land take would be required at the Myton Centre of 3,994m². It is proposed the site will be used as a temporary car park for contractor staff working. It will be used for the full 5-year construction period.  Current land use: HCC property.	Temporary land take at the Myton Centre Land take would be required at the Myton Centre of 4,312m².  It is proposed the site will be used as a temporary car park for contractor staff working. It will be used for the full 5-year construction period.  Current land use: HCC property.					
595	Table 14.8 Private property and associated land take – predicted effects/row 24	Temporary land take at Kingston Retail Park (Option A): It is anticipated that 6,737m² will be acquired for the project from the Kingston Retail Park under Option A (Arco). This would involve the loss of parking spaces impacting on retail outlets ability to trade	Temporary land take at Kingston Retail Park (Option A): It is anticipated that 6,733m² will be acquired for the project from the Kingston Retail Park under Option A (Arco). This would involve the loss of parking spaces impacting on retail outlets ability to trade					
land take – predicted effects/row 20  595  Table 14.8 Private property and associated land take – predicted effects/row		Permanent land take at Kingston Retail Park (Option A): 937m² likely to be acquired. Due to the constraints of the Scheme corridor, land-take from Kingston Retail Park is unavoidable. The Scheme footprint has been reduced as much as possible but operational and safety requirements dictate that some parking spaces would be permanently lost, potentially impacting on the ability of the retail outlets located there to trade as before.	Permanent land take at Kingston Retail Park (Option A): 822m³ likely to be acquired. Due to the constraints of the Scheme corridor, land-take from Kingston Retail Park is unavoidable. The Scheme footprint has been reduced as much as possible but operational and safety requirements dictate that some parking spaces would be permanently lost, potentially impacting on the ability of the retail outlets located there to trade as before.					

Page	Paragraph/ Table	Published text	Correction						
609	15.1.4	Provisions for NMUs as part of the operational scheme include new combined footway and cycleway facilities, pedestrian, cycle and disabled user bridges at Porter Street and Princes Quay, signalised crossings at Mytongate Junction and a reconfigured ramp from the A63 to High Street.	Provisions for NMUs as part of the operational scheme include new combined footway and cycleway facilities to the north of the A63 and along Blackfriargate, improving the footway to the south of the A63, pedestrian, cycle and disabled user bridges at Porter Stree and Princes Quay, signalised crossings a Mytongate Junction and a reconfigured ramp from the A63 to High Street.						
631	15.7.4	To the east of Mytongate Junction, existing signalised crossings close to Humber Dock Street and at Market Place would be maintained until phase 3, whilst improvements would be made to High Street for NMUs (as detailed in 15.6.8 below) during phase 0.	ramp from the A63 to High Street.  To the east of Mytongate Junction, existing signalised crossings across the A63 close to Humber Dock Street and a Market Place would be maintained until phase 3, whilst improvements would be made to High Street for NMUs (as detailed in 15.6.8 below) during phase 0						
632	15.7.4	A free 'shuttle bus' service would also be provided during construction, and this would pick up and drop off NMUs at predetermined locations either side of the A63 and would also include wheelchair access facilities.	A free 'shuttle bus' service would also be provided if feasible during construction, and this would pick up and drop off NMUs at predetermined locations either side of the A63 and would also include wheelchair access facilities.						
632	15.7.6	A combined footway and cycleway would be provided on both sides of the A63, along its length. This is shown on Volume 2, Figure 15.2. The shared facility would generally be 3m wide, however there are some locations where space is restricted and the width would be reduced to a minimum of 2m as follows:	A combined footway and cycleway would be provided to the north of the A63 and along Blackfriargate, whilst the footway to the south of the A63 would be improved. This is shown on Volume 2, Figure 15.2. The shared facility would generally be 3m wide, however there are some locations where space is restricted and the width would be reduced to a minimum of 2m as						
		between Castle Buildings and Princes Quay car park on the north side of the A63 for approximately	follows:  In front of Castle Buildings for approximately 25m						
		in front of Warehouse No. 6 (Ask restaurant) on the north side of the A63 for approximately 25m	from Castle Buildings east to the rear of Princes Quay car park for approximately 122m						
		in front of Humber Dock Marina, Holiday Inn and Trinity Burial Ground on the south side of the A63 for approximately 400m	from Castle Buildings west to the end of the Earl de Grey public house for approximately 55m						
	42	adjacent to Kingston Retail Park and in front of Arco on the south side of the A63 for approximately 450m							
634	Table 15.9: Construction – views from the road assessment : Location Hessle	Travelling east, there would be direct views of construction. This would include views of works to create footways and cycleways on either side of the Scheme as well as soft landscaping.	Travelling east, there would be direct views of construction. This would include views of works to create shared footways and cycleways to the north of the Scheme and along Blackfriargate to the south of the A63 as well as soft landscaping.						



Page	Paragraph/ Table	Published text	Correction
	Road (A63 between St James Street and the Mytongate Junction, including the Junction/Co mmentary		
645	15.8.8	Mitigation such as the provision of a free 'shuttle bus' and signed diversion routes would minimise effects for NMUs.	Mitigation such as the provision of a free 'shuttle bus' if feasible and signed diversion routes would minimise effects for NMUs.
645	Table 15.11: Constructio n stage (temporary) effects for the main NMU journeys: Location 1/Comment ary	During construction, temporary diversions are likely to be required whilst works are undertaken to upgrade the footway to a combined footpath/cycleway, which would result in a significant effect due to journey time increases and also a reduction in journey experience/amenity due to the presence of construction plant and construction noise/dust for the full duration of construction.	During construction, temporary diversions are likely to be required whilst works are undertaken to upgrade the footway to a combined footpath/cycleway to the north of the A63 and to realign or improve the footway to the south of the A63, which would result in a significant effect due to journey time increases and also a reduction in journey experience/amenity due to the presence of construction plant and construction noise/dust for the full duration of construction.
646	Table 15.11: Constructio n stage (temporary) effects for the main NMU journeys: Location 3/Comment ary	During construction, temporary diversions are likely to be required whilst works are undertaken to upgrade the footway to a combined footpath/cycleway.	During construction, temporary diversions are likely to be required whilst works are undertaken to upgrade the footway on the north side of the A63 to a combined footpath/cycleway and to realign or improve the footway to the south of the A63.
647	Table 15.11: Construction stage (temporary) effects for the main NMU journeys: Location 8/Comment ary	The crossing adjacent to Humber Dock Street is anticipated to remain open for the first 2 phases of construction, which would ensure that journey times are unaffected. During phase 3 the signal controlled crossings at Humber Dock Street and Market Place are anticipated to be closed, and NMUs diverted towards the underpass at High Street, which would result in a temporary significant effect due to journey time increases.	The crossing adjacent to Humber Dock Street is anticipated to remain open for the first 2 phases of construction, which would ensure that journey times are unaffected. During phase 3 the signal controlled crossings at Humber Dock Street and Market Place across the A63 are anticipated to be closed, and NMUs diverted towards the underpass at High Street, which would result in a temporary significant effect due to journey time increases.
648	Table 15,11. Constructio n stage	Upgrades to the existing footway the A63 to provide a continuous combined footway cycleway would be the permanent solution and is therefore	Upgrades to the existing footway along the south of the A63 would be the permanent solution and is therefore



Page	Paragraph/	Published text	Correction
	Table		
	(temporary) effects for the main NMU journeys: Location 17/Comme ntary	considered within the operational stage assessment.	considered within the operational stage assessment
657	Table 15.13: Permanent impacts of the Scheme on NMUs; Location 1/Comment ary	The provision of a combined footway and cycleway for the full length of the Scheme to the north of the A63, and footway to the south, would be considered beneficial for NMUs, as the new pavement has potential to improve journey quality.	The provision of a combined footway and cycleway for the full length of the Scheme to the north of the A63, along Blackfriargate to the south and improved footway to the south of the A63, would be considered beneficial for NMUs, as the new pavement has potential to improve journey quality.
662	Table 15.13: Permanent impacts of the Scheme on NMUs: Location 17/Change in facilities	Vehicular access stopped up. NMU access maintained. With the combined footway and cycleway to the south of the A63.	Vehicular access stopped up. NMU access maintained with the footway to the south of the A63.
662	Table 15.13: Permanent impacts of the Scheme on NMUs: Location 17/Comme ntary	The removal of vehicle access at this location, whilst maintaining access for NMUs for the Holiday Inn, would be of benefit for NMUs by removing the potential for conflict with vehicular traffic, and subsequently improving amenity through the continuation of the combined footway and cycleway.	The removal of vehicle access at this location, whilst maintaining access for NMUs for the Holiday Inn, would be of benefit for NMUs by removing the potential for conflict with vehicular traffic, and subsequently improving amenity through the continuation of the footway.
663	Table 15.13: Permanent impacts of the Scheme on NMUs: Location 19/Change in facilities	No access between the A63 and Humber Dock Street. Combined cycleway and footway provided along the A63 (3m wide here). Ramped access to Princes Quay Bridge also provided in this location.	No access between the A63 and Humber Dock Street. Footway provided along the A63 (3m wide here). Ramped access to Princes Quay Bridge also provided in this location.
663	Table 15.13: Permanent impacts of the Scheme on NMUs: Location 19/Comme ntary	The combined footway and cycleway along the A63 would be continued in this location.	The footway along the A63 would be continued in this location.



Page	Paragraph/ Table	Published text	Correction
684	Table 16.7 Significanc e of combined effects	n/a	Replace Table 16.7 with revised Table 16.7 below as discussed in comments on WQ1.10.10. (new/revised text in red).



ES Table 10.9: Characterisation process of ecological impacts (revised)

Resource	Proposed activity, biophysical change, related to receptor structure and function		Characterisation of impact	Mitigation proposals	Summary of characterisation
			SI: -ve	Drainage design would ensure that adequate surface water interceptors are	Risk of accidental indirect
	Potential impacts from	Potential discharge of pollution from A63 to enter the Estuary	PO: unlikely	incorporated. Surface water would discharge onto existing rock armour in the	Impact. Small and unlikely to be Significant
	piling into Humber Dock Marina during construction of Princes	through drainage system. Unknown impact on tidal mud and shales.	CO: indirect	Estuary.  Trained marine fauna ecologists would act as	(Design must ensure no residual impact) Scheme certain to be
Humber Estuary	include noise, vibration, dust, sedimentation, groundwater	(Drainage design has since changed and surface water will be	EC: small	dock area and up to 500m beyond the dock gates is clear of marine animals.	insignificant in terms of air quality  Noise levels in parts of the
Conservation of	contamination and sitting. Potential air quality impact small % of NOx increase	entering the existing Yorkshire Water system).	SZ: not assessed	The dock gates would be closed during piling to control and contain silt and sediment	reduce. Water quality would not be
nabilations 2017	on existing amounts. Potential death, injury or disturbance to manne	Potential pollution impacts during operation from spillages in	RE: not assessed	and absorb noise and vibration from entering the Humber Estuary.	signincantify impacted during operation.  Probable.
1,2	fauna during construction of Princes Quay footbridge.	underpass due to higher drainage area Potential air quality	DU: Permanent	A soft start-up of machinery to disperse any potential fish, birds or mammals present in	Impacts to the Humber Estuary designated sites has been concluded as not
		impact small % of NOx increase on existing amounts.	TF: N/A	the dock. Impacts from piling fully assessed in AIES. Temporary protection during construction detailed in CEMP.	Significant in the hard Screening Report for Princes Quay currently undergoing consultation.



Resource	Proposed activity, biophysical change, related to receptor structure and function		Characterisation of impact	Mitigation proposals	Summary of characterisation
1			0 > 3	Current amounts of NOx already exceed environmental standards. Very small negligible increase.	
		The state of the s		Water quality would not be impacted by operational discharges and spillages as underpass drainage system would incorporate a shut-off valve and below-ground attenuation units to allow isolation and containment of contaminants.	
i A			SI: -ve	Root protection zones on	
Trinity Burial Ground	1		PO: certain	remaining trees.	- (
SNCI	Permanent loss of 36		CO: direct	Compensation includes	7.7
Value	(additional 36 to facilitate		EC: large 0.7ha	replanting 55 larger native	Certain permanent loss of
County/Unitary Authority Area	disinterment) and	Light pollution from new	SZ: complete loss	trees (>30cm diameter) close to Trinity Burial Ground. The	large area of habitat and
	woodland understorey.	Junction during	RE: not reversible	understorey in the remaining	October 1995 Organica III.
Hull City Council	Lighting of SNCI during	operation.	DU: permanent	area of Trinity Burial Ground	certain significant permanent extra light pollution during
designation	construction at hight and light pollution from new Junction during operation.	n ze	TF: avoid breeding bird season	is to include some native shrubs and plants. Lighting during construction to directed away from remaining trees.	operation.
River Hull SNC			SI: -ve		
			PO: unlikely		



Summary of characterisation			Unlikely, very small indirect pollution incident during	construction. Not significant.	operation.		Certain, permanent loss of large area of habitat and mature trees. Significant. Operational impacts from lighting pollution.	Unlikely very small indirect	pollution incident in Construction Phase only. Not significant.			
Mitigation proposals	Mitigation by standard pollution prevention measures.						'deciduous woodland' and broad-leaved woodland' – mitigation and compensation as in Trinity Burial Ground SNCI above.		'mudflats', 'saltmarsh', 'intertidal substrate foreshore mud Mitigation by standard pollution prevention measures.			
Characterisation of impact	CO: indirect	EC: v small	SZ: not assessed	RE: not assessed	DU: Permanent	TF: N/A	Based on highest impacts which are to woodland habitats	PO: certain	CO: direct			
							Trinity Burial Ground as in SNCI above. Indirect and direct impacts from pollution spillages during construction.					
Proposed activity, biophysical change, related to receptor structure and function												
Resource	Mudflats to the south	SNOI	Value:	Authority Area		Hull City Council designation	UKBAP (NERC Act 2006 S41) Priority Habitats – Value: National	deciduous woodland' and broad-leaved	Eurial Ground SNCI. 'mudflats', 'intertidal substrate foreshore –			



of isation	Certain, direct, temporary, large, reversible impacts of noise, vibration and sediment	disturbance. Significant.  No adverse impacts during operation expected and no	residual impacts Impacts to the Humber Estuary designated sites has	been concluded as not significant in the HRA Screening Report for Princes	Quay currently undergoing consultation.	Certain, direct loss of the	majority of trees within the	Scheme Site. Would take lime for compensation to	replace maturity of trees lost.	No significant operational		Residual impacts – no loss of trees overall, slight gain.
Summary of characterisation	Certain, d large, revi	disturband No adversion	residual impacts Impacts to the Hi Estuary designat	been cond significant Screening	Quay curren consultation.	Certain, d	majority of	Scheme Stime for co	replace mat	No signific	impacts.	Residual in trees over
Mitigation proposals		No mitigation for habitats within Humber Dock Marina.	closed during piling to control and contain silt and sediment	vibration from entering the Humber Estuary.				Compensation by 307 x	native tree planting	plan. Trees to be managed.		
Characterisation of impact	EC: large 0.7ha	SZ: complete loss	RE: not reversible	DU: permanent	TF: avoid breeding bird season	SI: -ve	PO: certain	CO: direct	SZ: loss	RE: reversible	DU: temporary	TF: avoid breeding bird season
		110		330	M							
Proposed activity, biophysical change, related to receptor structure and function	Humber Dock Marina would be directly	impacted by piling to create supports for the deck that would carry the	footbridge (noise, vibrations, and	sediments). Impacts from the moving	of Spurn Lightship could include additional disturbance of sediments.			245 amenity trees	Ground) are to be	removed to accommodate	ille Schenie.	122
Resource	mud' Princes Dock; Humber Dock basin; Adjacent to site compounds at Neptune Street, Wellington Street	Island Wharf and Livingstone Road.	'Intertidal substrate foreshore - man made - Humber	Dock Marina; Princes Dock,	Section 41 of the NERC Act 2006	Scattered Amenity	Trees	Value: Local - main	site		Hulf City Council	Action Plan



Summary of characterisation		oitats disturbance to standing water Marina habitat of Humber Dock		ld be Both docks - Unlikely very	-			Quay currently undergoing consultation.		Certain, direct, temporary	g gi		
Mitigation proposals		No mitigation for habitats within Humber Dock Marina	or Railway Dock during	plling. The dock gates would be	closed during piling to control	and absorb noise and vibration from entering the Humber Estuary.	All docks - Mitigation by standard pollution prevention measures.			Small area of habitat to be	left in each site compound. Compounds to be left to	regenerate after use.	
Characterisation of impact	SI: -ve	PO: certain	CO: direct	EC: not assessed	SZ: disturbance	RE: reversible	DU: temporary	TF: N/A	SI: -ve	PO: certain	CO: direct	EC: 100%	SZ: complete loss
tivity, change, ceptor d function	Marina	2	or the	ld carry the	, se,	moving of	np could onal f sediments.				loss of ring site		
Proposed activity, biophysical change, related to receptor structure and function	Humber Dock M	would be directly	create supports for the	deck that would carry t	Quay Bridge (noise, vibrations, and	disturbance of sediments). Impacts from mo	spum Ligniship could include additional disturbance of sedime	Impacts from indirect pollution during construction.			Impacts from los vegetation during	dealance.	
Resource		Standing Water		Value: Regional – Humber Dock	Marina; Railway	regularly occurring populations of species which may	be considered at an International level' (IAN 130/10)		Ephemeral/short	Volume I cool	compounds at Wellington Street	Island Wharf, Livingstone Road	and Neptune Street

Page 25



Resource	Proposed activity, biophysical change, related to receptor structure and function		Characterisation of impact	Mitigation proposals	Summary of characterisation
Section 41 of the NERC Act 2006			RE: reversible		
Hull City Council Local Biodiversity	4		DU: temporary		V
i			TF: avoid breeding bird season		
			SI: -ve	The species-poor hedgerows	
			PO: certain	present in site compound – Myton Centre is	
Value: Local - site compounds at Livingstone Boad	Loss of 5 x species-poor intact hedgerows, four of		CO: direct	approximately 45m in length and is to be compensated with 104m length of	
A63 eastbound recovery base and	to the wider surrounds or act as a green corridor.		EC: 100%	hedgerow containing species of native hedgerow woody	I emporary, certain loss of habitats that would benefit over time in Operation Phase
Staples site; car park site at the Myton Centre.	One is (A63 eastbound recovery base) connected to the winder area as it		SZ: loss	parits . This would be managed during operation. The hedgerow in site	from compensatory measures and management.
Section 41 of the	runs alongside the verge of the A63.		RE: reversible	compound - Livingstone Road, the one in Staples site	Not significant.
NERC Act 2006	× 1		DU: temporary	and the one in site compound – A63 eastbound recovery base are to be re-	W a
		W	TF: avoid breeding bird season	instated only.	E =
	Woodland in Trinity Burial		SI: -ve		Certain permanent loss of
nvertebrates	Ground has potential to		PO: certain	Woodland in Trinity Burial	large area of habitat and
	support UKBAP and Hull		CO: direct	Ground – mitigation and	mature trees. Significant.



	ig nporary	ich would y. No eration. Not			22			gand	g operation.		
Summary of characterisation	Less habitat during operation.	loss of habitat which would regenerate quickly. No impacts during operation. Not	significant.				- Action	orimery, indirect, temporary impacts from piling and pollution events.	No impacts during operation.	Not significant.	
Mitigation proposals	compensation as in Trinity Burial Ground SNCI above.	Small area of ephemeral/short perennial habitat to be left in each site	compound. Compounds to be left to regenerate after use.			The dock gates would be	closed during piling to control and contain silt and sediment	vibration from entering the Humber Estuary.	A soft start-up of machinery to disperse any potential animals present in the dock	Full assessment of impacts is to be undertaken in the AIES.	
Characterisation of impact	EC: 0.7ha of woodland; 100% of ephemeral/short perennial	SZ: All animals in these areas	RE: Not reversible (woodland) reversible (ephemeral/short perennial)	DU: Temporary	TF: N/A	SI: -ve	PO: Unlikely	CO: indirect	EC: not assessed	SZ: not assessed	RE: reversible
Proposed activity, biophysical change, related to receptor structure and function	BAP species. Habitat to be lost.	perennial habitat in other two compounds has potential to support	UKBAP and Hull BAP species. Habitat to be lost.			Potential impacts from	construction (death or injury), disturbance from	piling to install Princes  Quay Bridge including noise, vibration.	disturbance of sediments.		Potential impacts (death or injury) from pollution
Resource	Value: Local - Trinity Burial Ground SNCI; site compounds at	Wellington Street Island Wharf, Livingstone Road	and Neptune Street Section 41 of the NERC Act 2006 Hull City Council	Local Biodiversity	Action Plan	Aquatic Invertebrates Value: National –	Humber Estuary SSSI	The Widlife and Countryside Act 1981 as amended	(primarily by the Countryside and	2000) Way Act	Value: Local – River Hull SNCI; Mudflats



SE LINEAU DE		28 2	31-9300	A STATE			18 31 2	
Summary of characterisation				Probable direct and indirect impacts during piling.	No impacts during operation.  Not significant.	Estuary designated sites has been concluded as not significant in the HRA Screening Report for Princes	Quay currently undergoing consultation.	
Mitigation proposals	Mitigation by standard pollution prevention		Trained marine fauna ecologists would act as observers to check that the	dock area and up to 500m beyond the dock gates is clear of marine animals. The dock gates would be	closed during piling to control and contain silt and sediment and absorb noise and vibration from entering the	Humber Estuary. A soft start-up of machinery to disperse any potential fish, birds or mammals present in	the dock. Full assessment of impacts undertaken in the AIES.	Mitigation by standard pollution prevention measures.
Characterisation of impact	DU: temporary	TF: N/A	SI; -ve	PO: probable	CO; direct	EC: not assessed	SZ: disturbance	RE: reversible
		::	8					
Proposed activity, biophysical change, related to receptor structure and function	events during construction.		W 1 =	Direct impacts (injury, death or injury) to fish are likely during the viling	works to construct Princes Quay Bridge. Indirect disturbance	vibration and sediment disturbance.	Impacts (death, injury) from indirect pollution during construction.	
Resource	to the south of Sammy's Point SNCI	NERC Act 2006	Fish (Sea and river lamprey)	Marina; Railway Dock; site compounds at	Wellington Street Island Wharf and Livingstone Road;	Conservation of Habitats and Species Regulations 2017	Fish (European eel, salmon, sea trout) Value: Local - Humber Dock	Marina, Railway Dock; site compounds at Neptune Street,



Summary of characterisation						Ecological Clerk of Works (ECOW) being present prior Certain temporary loss of	search the area where reinstated with no operational	vegetation is to be removed or residual impacts. Not first.	Habitats to be reinstated.	
Characterisation Mi	DU: temporary	TF: N/A	SI: -ve	PO: probable	CO: direct	EC: 0.3ha in A63 (E Eastbound layby	SZ: loss of habitat se	RE: reversible first.	DU: temporary Ha	TF: avoid site clearance in hibernation
Proposed activity, biophysical change, related to receptor structure and function						Impacts from loss and	severance of habitats.	during site clearance.		
Resource	Wellington Street Island Wharf and Livingstone Road Section 41 of the	NERC Act 2006 Eels (England and Wales) Regulations 2009			Heptiles	Value: Local - site compound at the A63 eastbound recovery	7101		Countryside Act 1981 as amended	

Page 29



Summary of characterisation	International – probable, temporary indirect impacts during construction with no impacts during operation or residual impacts expected. Not significant.	Local – Certain permanent loss of habitat in Trinity Burial Ground. Impacts from light pollution during operation. Significant. Temporary, certain loss of habitat in other site	compounds that would be re- instated with no operational impacts. No impacts from light pollution during operation or residual impacts. Not significant.	Estuary designated sites has been concluded as not significant in the HRA Screening Report for Princes Quay currently undergoing consultation.
Su Mitigation proposals ch.	The erection of hoardings to dur block the works in the site impromounds from view and restreduce noise emissions.		<b>0</b> ⊑	ecologists would act as becologists would act as becologists would act as becologists would act as becologists would be sign con The dock gates would be closed during piling to control
Characterisation of impact	SI: √e	PO: probable	CO: indirect	EC: not assessed
		Light pollution from new Junction during peration due to lack of	Lighting of Trinity Burial Ground SNCI during operation at night.	
Proposed activity, biophysical change, related to receptor structure and function	International - In all three site compounds, bird species the Humber	Estuary was designated for were observed either adjacent to the site compounds in the mudflats or flying over the site compounds. Impacts to these bird species are likely to the from pollution	or noise, vibration and sight disturbance during construction.  Local – loss of breeding.	Burial Ground SNCI during construction at night.
Resource	Birds Value: International - site compounds at Neptune Street, Wellington Street	Livingstone Road Conservation of Habitats and Species Regulations 2017 Wildlife and Countryside Act	Value: Local - Main site; Trinity Burial Ground SNCI; site compounds at land south east of Mytongate Junction,	Abox eastbound recovery base, Arco site and Staples site; car park site at the Myton Certre Section 41 of the NERC Act 2006

Deleted: 3

Page 31

Summary of characterisation				
Mitigation proposals	and contain silt and sediment and absorb noise and vibration from entering the Humber Estuary. A soft start-up of machinery to disperse any potential birds present in the dock.	Full assessment of impacts is to be undertaken in the AIES.  Mitigation by standard pollution prevention measures to remove habitat outside of breeding season.	Habitats to be re-instated with the exception of Trinity Burial Ground. Lighting to be directed away from remaining trees during construction.	replace some lost habitat. Habitat enhancement would improve bird nesting and feeding opportunities.
Characterisation of impact	SZ: disturbance, loss of habitat	RE: Not reversible (Trinity Burial Ground) reversible (all other sites)	DU: permanent (Trinity Burial Ground) temporary (all other sites)	TF: avoid site clearance in breeding season
Proposed activity, biophysical change, related to receptor structure and function				
Resource	Hull City Council Local Biodiversity Action Plan			



	1	tion	uo	has	D	W.
Summary of characterisation		Unlikely, indirect impacts during piling and construction works. Temporary and	reversible.  No impacts during operation or residual impacts. Not significant	Impacts to the Humber Estuary designated sites has been concluded as not significant in the HRA Screening Report for Princes	Quay currently undergoing consultation.	
Mitigation proposals	Mitigation should include that trenches should be covered at night to prevent grey seal	should include an earth ramp to allow them to climb out. At night in the three site compounds, lighting should	water. Mitigation for the construction of the Princes Quay footbridge includes: Trained marine fauna	ecologists would act as observers to check that the dock area and up to 500m beyond the dock gates is clear of marine animals.	The dock gates would be closed during piling to control and contain sift and sediment and absorb noise and vibration from entering the	Humber Estuary. A soft start-up of machinery to disperse any potential animals present in the dock.
Characterisation of impact	SI: -ve	PO: unlikely	CO: indirect	EC: not assessed	SZ: disturbance	RE: reversible
		2				# <sup>2</sup>
Proposed activity, biophysical change, related to receptor structure and function	10.75 10.75	Grey seals may venture onto the site and fall in tranches causing injury	or death. They could be disturbed by the lighting during construction.	construction of Princes Quay Bridge from noise, vibration and sediment disturbance.	pollution and lighting during construction.	
Resource		Aquatic mammals Value: International - Humber Dock	Dock; site compounds at Neptune Street, Wellington Street	Conservation of Habitats and Species Remilations 2017	Wildlife and Countryside Act 1981 (as amended)	



A TANK TANK DE					
Summary of characterisation			Certain, direct, permanent loss of historic roost, potential tree roosts to be compensated for	Certain, direct, permanent loss of foraging and commuting habitat would be partially replaced over time	contractions. Certain, permanent extra light pollution during operation. Significant.
Mitigation proposals	Full assessment of impacts is to be undertaken in the AIES. Mitigation by standard pollution prevention	measures. Lighting not directed on water during operation.	Precautionary avoidance measures are to include that demolition of trees in Trinity Burial Ground SNCI would	be overseen by a bat licensed ECoW. Trees would be felled sectionally and sections searched by ECoW or left overnight for bats to	Compensation includes the erection of bat boxes on the remaining trees in Trinity Burial Ground SNCI.
Characterisation of impact	DU: temporary	TF: N/A	SI: -ve	PO: certain	CO: direct
				Light pollution from new Junction during operation due to lack of trees.	
Proposed activity, biophysical change, related to receptor structure and function			Loss of potential roosts within trees and old wall in Trinity Burial Ground.	Strial possibility or unidentified roost presence in trees in Trinity Burial Ground SNCI when felling.	Loss of foraging area for a small number of pipistrelle bats in Trinity Burial Ground and severance of commuting route to it
Resource			Bats Pipistrelle bats Value: Local – All	areas Conservation of Habitats and Species Regulations 2017.	Wildlife and Countryside Act 1981 (as amended)



biophysical change, related to receptor structure and function	change, sceptor id function			Characterisation of impact	Mitigation proposals	Summary of characterisation
across Mytongate Junction. Lighting of Trinity Bur	ngate rinity Burial		, A	EC: 1 disused roost, 0.7ha foraging habitat lost for small	Compensation includes that the larger native trees are to be replanted on the verges at either side of the A63 in a	
construction at night	night			number of bats	line extending from Trinity Burial Ground to the Myton Centre. The large height of the trees would provide	13
ä	D			SZ: disturbance	habitat 'hop-overs' for bats and reduce collisions with traffic. The larger trees would	×
	11 10			RE: not reversible	also be planted in the soft estate in the new Mytongate Junction. This should recreate the linear commuting route to Trinity Burial Ground.	
		893		DU: permanent	Lighting to be directed away from remaining trees during construction.	Nee Me
	4 ::	<i>I</i>		TF: outside of sensitive periods for bats	would be to use covers to direct lighting where it is needed at the ground and not directly light up linear features.	



Summary of characterisation			Unlikely, direct and indirect impacts during piling and	construction works.	No impacts during operation or residual impacts. Not significant.		
Mitigation proposals	Mitigation would include that trenches are to be covered at night to prevent otter from failing in, or trenches are to include an earth ramp to allow otter to climb out.	At night in the three site compounds, lighting should	be unected away from the water. Mitigation for the construction of the Princes Quay Bridge includes:	Trained marine fauna	ecologists would act as observers to check that the dock area and up to 500m beyond the dock gates is clear of marine animals.	The dock gates would be closed during piling to control and contain silt and sediment and absorb noise and vibration from entering the	Humber Estuary. A soft start-up of machinery to disperse any potential animals present in the dock.
Characterisation of impact	SI: -ve	PO: unlikely	CO: indirect		EC: not assessed	SZ: disturbance	RE: reversible
Proposed activity. biophysical change. related to receptor structure and function		Otters may venture onto	the site and fall in trenches. Disturbance during	Construction of Princes	vibration and sediment disturbance. Impacts from indirect pollution and lighting	during construction.	
Resource	Offers	Value – Local - Humber Dock	Dock; site compounds at Compound at	Island Wharf and	Livingstone Road Conservation of Habitats and Species	Heguations 2017. Wildlife and Countryside Act 1981 (as amended)	



Resource	Proposed activity, biophysical change, related to receptor structure and function		Characterisation of impact	Mitigation proposals	Summary of characterisation
			DU: temporary	Full assessment of impacts is to be undertaken in the AIES. Mitigation by standard pollution prevention	
			TF: N/A	measures. Lighting not directed on water during operation.	
		5	SI: -ve		
			PO: probable		
	Woodland to be		CO: direct		
Hedgehogs Value: Local – Terrestrial areas	permanently lost in Trinity Burial Ground SNCI has potential to support hedgehogs. Habitats elsewhere to be		EC: 0.7ha of Trinity Burial Ground, not assessed rest of site	Ecological Clerk of Works (ECOW) being present prior to vegetation clearance to search the area where vegetation is to be removed first.	Certain, temporary loss of habitat that would be re-instated with no operational or residual impacts with the exception of permanent loss
NERC Act 2006	remporarily lost. Impacts to individuals during vegetation		SZ: disturbance, loss of habitat	Habitats to be re-instated with the exception of Trinity	of part of Trinity Burial Ground. Potentially significant.
	clearance.		RE: not reversible	Burial Ground SNCI.	32
			DU: permanent		
	9/1		TF: N/A		
Invasive species	Legal impact of allowing these species to spread.		SI: N/A	Cotoneaster plants are to be removed and the arisings	Probable, direct legal impact of spreading these species to

Summary of characterisation	0.10	ed significant.			<b>E</b>	P	
Mitigation proposals	and topsoil in these areas to be treated as controlled	suitably licensed or permitted disposal facility.	Biosecurity method statements for both species.	The site is to be maintained during the Operation Phase and it is unlikely that the	cotoneaster or false acacia would return after removal in	Should this happen, it would be removed during	maintenance.
Characterisation of impact	PO: probable	CO: direct	EC: not assessed	SZ: not assessed	RE: reversible	DU: temporary	TF: legal constraint
Proposed activity, biophysical change, related to receptor structure and function							
Resource	Schedule 9 of the Wildlife and	1981 (as amended)	site – A63 and Market Place	and Queen Street Junction); land south	east of Mytongate Junction		

SI (Sign): Positive (beneficial (+ve)) or Negative (adverse (-ve)) PO (Probability of Occurring): Certain, Probable, Unlikely CO (Complexity): Direct, Indirect, Cumulative

EC (Extent): Area measures and percentage of total (e.g. area of habitat/territory lost)
SZ (Size): Description of level of severity of influence (e.g. complete loss, number of animals affected)
RE (Reversibility): Reversible or Not Reversible (can the effect be reversed, whether or not this is planned)

DU (Duration): Permanent (P) or Temporary (T) in ecological terms. Where differing timescales are determined in relation to the life cycle of the receptor, these

TF (Timing and frequency): Important seasonal and/or life cycle constraints and any relationship with frequency considered.

should be defined.



ES Table 11.15: Significance of potential residual impacts on surface water features during construction (amend row)

Potential impact	Feature	Attribute	Quality	Importance Mitigation	Mitigation	Magnitude of impact	Significance	
Changes in flood flow routes due to alteration of ground elevations and construction of structures	Humber Floodplain	Conveyance of flow	Properties within floodplain	Very high	OEMP and Flood Emergency Plan (FEP) to include emergency procedures to evacuate Scheme in the event of extreme flooding.  Temporary pumping arrangements within OEMP to discharge flood waters to sewer or surface waters subject to consent, only compliant water to be discharged to Humber Estuary, non-compliant water collected and discharged off site.	Mederate-Major beneficial to Major adverse – depending on the location, source and scale of the flooding in relation to the Scheme area. Refer to Error! Reference source not found, and explanatory text for further details.	Large + Very Large beneficial to Very Large adverse	



ES Table 11.18: Summary of magnitude of peak impact from selected sources and scenarios from the FRA (replaced)

Flooding source	Scenario	Areas of adverse impact \ magnitude	Areas of beneficial impact \ magnitude
Pluvial (VCB In) Figure 13.3	A 1 in 100-year return period event with 30% increase in rainfall intensity for climate change impacts	No change in flood depths across Scheme and study area - neutral	No change in flood depths across Scheme and study area - neutral
Pluvial (VCB Out) Figure 13.73		No change in flood depths across Scheme and study area - neutral	No change in flood depths across Scheme and study area - neutral
Tidal – Humber Wave Overtopping (VCB In) Figure 13.18	A 1 in 200-year return period event	Underpass – increase of maximum flood depth of up to 5.8m – major adverse	A63 Castle Street east of Mytongate Junction – reductior in maximum flood depth of >0.4m – major beneficial
		Westbound diverge slip road – increase of maximum flood depth of >0.5m – major adverse	Commercial Road south of underpass and A63 carriageway east of underpass – reduction of maximum flood depths of up to 0.4m – major beneficial
		Small areas of Kingston Retail Park – increase of maximum flood depth of up to 0.2m – major adverse	Myton Street and Osborne Street – reduction in maximum flood depth of up to 0.4m – major beneficial
		Blanket Row, Blackfnargate, High Street and surrounding streets – increase of maximum flood depth of up to 0.1m – moderate adverse	Railway Dock – reduction in maximum flood depth of up to 0.10m – moderate beneficial  Edgar Street and Alfred Street – reduction in maximum flood depth of up to 0.1m –
		Finkle Street and Sewer Lane and surrounding streets north of the A63 –	moderate beneficial
		increase of maximum depth of up to 0.3m – major adverse	
\$		Queens Gardens – increase in maximum depth of up to 0.4m – major adverse	
Tidal – Humber Wave Overtopping (VCB Out)		Underpass – increase of maximum flood	A63 Castle Street east of Mytongate Junction – reduction

Flooding source	Scenario	Areas of adverse	Areas of beneficial impact \
		impact \ magnitude	magnitude
igure 13.82	9 9 HC	depth of up to 5.8m – major adverse	in maximum flood depth of >0.4m - major beneficial
		Westbound diverge slip road – increase of maximum flood depth of >0.5m – major adverse	Commercial Road south of underpass and A63 carriageway east of underpas – reduction of maximum flood depths of up to 0.4m – major beneficial
		Small areas of Kingston Retail Park – increase of maximum flood depth of up to 0.2m – major adverse	Myton Street and Osborne Street – reduction in maximum flood depth of up to 0.4m – major beneficial
		Blackfriargate, Blanket Row and surrounding streets – increase in maximum flood depth of up to 0.10m –	Railway Dock – reduction in maximum flood depth of up to 0.10m – moderate beneficia
		moderate adverse	Edgar Street, English Street and Alfred Street – reduction maximum flood depth of up to
		Sewer Lane – increase in maximum flood depth of up to 0.20m – major adverse	0.1m - moderate beneficial
		Market Place Lowgate, Alfred Gelder Street and surrounding	W = 50 =
		streets – increase in maximum flood depth of up to 0.10m – moderate adverse	w 8
10) E		Posterngate – increase in maximum flood depth of up to 0.3m – major adverse	- **
	* 12	Princes Quay – increase in maximum flood depth of up to 0.10m – moderate adverse	
		Queens Gardens – increase in maximum depth of up to 0.4m – major adverse	\$ <sub>0</sub>
idal – Humber Wave Overtopping (VCB In) igure 13.21	A 1 in 1000-year return period event	Underpass – increase of maximum flood	A63 Castle Street east of Mytongate Junction – reduction

Flooding source Scenario	Areas of adverse impact \ magnitude	Areas of beneficial impact \ magnitude
	depth of up to 5.8m – major adverse	in maximum flood depth of >0.4m - major beneficial
	Westbound diverge slip road – increase of maximum flood depth of >0.5m – major adverse	Princes Quay – reduction of maximum flood depths of greater than 0.4m – major beneficial
	Kingston Retail Park and Waverley Street – increase of maximum flood depth of up to 0.3m – major adverse	A1079 Ferensway north of underpass – reduction of maximm flood depth of up to 0.66m – major beneficial
	Underpass – increase of maximum flood depth of up to 5.8m – major adverse	A1079 Ferensway, A1105 Anlaby Road and surrounding streets to the west – reduction of maximum flood depths of up to 0.1m – moderate beneficial
	Lister Street, English Street, Alfred Street and surrounding streets – increase in maximum flood depth	Myton Street, Osborne Street and surrounding streets – reduction in maximum flood depth of up to 0.3m – major beneficial
	of up to 0.2m – major adverse	Posterngate, Dagger Lane and Market Place – reduction in maximum flood depth of up to
	Jackson Street, Neptune Street, Daltry Street and Madeley Street increase in maximum flood depth of up to 0.10m - moderate adverse	0.3m – major beneficial  Queens Gardens, and northern part of Market place – reduction in maximum flood depth of up to 0.1m – moderate beneficial
	Humber Dock Marina and Railway Dock Marina – increase in maximum flood depth of up to 0.10m – moderate adverse	
	Blackfriargate and High Street surrounding streets – increase of maximum flood depth of up to 0.1m – moderate adverse	
	Blanket Row, Finkle Street, Sewer Lane and Humber Street –	

Flooding source	Scenario	Areas of adverse impact \ magnitude	Areas of beneficial impact \ magnitude
L .		increase in maximum flood depth of up to 0.2m – major adverse	1 = 1/4 a sa 12
		Commercial Road, Kingston Street and Railway Street — increase in maximum flood depth of up to 0.1m — moderate adverse	
Tidal – Humber Wave Overtopping (VCB Out) Figure 13.84	1.0	Underpass – increase of maximum flood depth of up to 5.8m – major adverse	A63 Castle Street east of Mytongate Junction – reduction in maximum flood depth of >0.4m – major beneficial
		Westbound diverge slip road – increase of maximum flood depth of >0.5m – major adverse	Humber Dock Street – reduction in maximum flood depth of up to 0.3m – major beneficial
		Kingston Retail Park – increase in maximum flood depth of up to 0.2m – major adverse	Myton Street, trundle Street and A1079 Ferensway north o Mytongate Junction – reduction in maximum flood depth of up to 0.3m – major beneficial
***		Lister Street – increase in maximum flood depth of up to 0.1m – moderate adverse	Osborne Street, St Luke's Street, Carr Lane, A1106 Anlaby Road and surrounding streets — reduction in maximun flood depth of up to 0,1m — moderate beneficial
		Oueens Gardens – increase in maximum flood depth of up to 0.1m – moderate adverse	- 10
		Blanket Row and Blackfriargate – increase in maximum flood depth of up to 0.1m – moderate adverse	N S N
Tidal – Humber Wave Overtopping (VCB In) Figure 13.24	A 1 in 200-year return period event with consideration of climate change	Underpass – increase of maximum flood depth of up to 5.8m – major adverse	A63 Castle Street east of Mytongate Junction – reduction in maximum flood depth of >0.4m – major beneficial
		Westbound diverge slip road – increase of maximum flood depth	Osborne Street, Adelaide Street – reduction of maximum



Flooding source	Scenario	Areas of adverse impact \ magnitude	Areas of beneficial impact \ magnitude
		of >0.5m - major adverse	flood depth of up to 0.1m - moderate beneficial
		Kingston Retail Park and Waverley Street — increase of maximum flood depth of up to 0.1m — moderate adverse  Humber Dock Marina — increase in maximum flood depth of up to 0.1m — moderate adverse  Porter Street and Brisbane Street — increase in maximum flood depth of up to 0.1m — moderate adverse	A1079 Ferensway north of Mytongate Junction – reductior in maximum flood depth of up to 0.3m – major beneficial  Princes Dock and Princes Dock Street – reduction in maximum flood depth of up to 0.3m – major beneficial  Queen's Gardens, Guildhall Road, Alfred Gelder Street and surrounding streets – reduction in maximum flood depth of up to 0.1m – moderate beneficial
		Kingston Street and surrounding streets – increase in maximum flood depth of up to 0.1m – moderate adverse	
		Myton Street and Roper Street – increase in maximum flood depth of up to 0.1m – moderate adverse	
Tidal – Humber Wave Overtopping (VCB Out) Figure 13.88		Underpass – increase of maximum flood depth of up to 5.8m – major adverse	A63 Castle Street east of Mytongate Junction – reduction in maximum flood depth of >0.4m – major beneficial
		Westbound diverge slip road – increase of maximum flood depth of >0.5m – major adverse	A1079 Ferensway north of Mytongate Junction, Adelaide Street and Osborne Street – reduction in maximum flood depth of up to 0.3m – major beneficial
		Kingston Retail Park – increase in maximum flood depth of up to 0.1m – moderate adverse	Princes Dock, princes Dock Street – reduction in maximum flood depth of up to 0.3m – major beneficial

Flooding source	Scenario	Areas of adverse impact \ magnitude	Areas of beneficial impact \ magnitude
		Myton Street and Roper Street – increase in maximum flood depth of up to 0.1m – moderate adverse	Queen's Gardens, Guildhall Road, Alfred Gelder Street, Lowgate and surrounding streets – reduction in maximum flood depth of up to 0.1m – moderate beneficial
		Brisbane Street and Porter Street - increase in maximum flood depth of up to 0.1m - moderate adverse	
		Humber Dock Marina, Wellington Street and Railway Street - increase in maximum flood depth of up to 0.1m - moderate adverse	
Tidal – Humber Undefended (VCB In) Figure 13.33	A 1 in 200-year return period event (without existing flood defences)	Underpass – increase of maximum flood depth of up to 5,8m – major adverse	A63 Castle Street east of Mytongate Junction – reduction in maximum flood depth of >0.4m – major beneficial
		Westbound diverge slip road – increase of maximum flood depth of >0.5m – major adverse	Myton Street, Roper Street, Osborne Street – reduction in maximum flood depth of up to 0.3m – major beneficial
K X		Kingston Retail Park – Increase in maximum flood depth of up to 0.2m – major adverse	A1079 Ferensway, Carr Lane, West Street – reduction in maximum flood depth of up to 0.1m – moderate beneficial
		Lister Street, English Street and Waverley Street – increase in maximum flood depth of up to 0.1m – moderate adverse	Princes Dock, Princes Dock Street, Posterngate, Market Place and surrounding streets - reduction in maximum flood depth of up to 0.1 m - moderate beneficial
		Blanket Row, Blackfriargate, Humber Street, Finkle Street and Sewer Lane - increase in maximum flood depth of up to 0.1m - moderate adverse	Dock Street, Baker Street and Francis Street – reduction in maximum flood depth of up to 0.1m – moderate beneficial

Flooding source	Scenario	Areas of adverse impact \ magnitude	Areas of beneficial impact \ magnitude
Tidal – Humber Undefended (VCB Out) Figure 13.91		Underpass – increase of maximum flood depth of up to 5.8m – major adverse	A63 Castle Street east of Mytongate Junction – reduction in maximum flood depth of >0.4m – major beneficial
		Westbound diverge slip road – increase of maximum flood depth of >0.5m – major adverse	Myton Street, Roper Street, Osbome Street – reduction in maximum flood depth of up to 0.3m – major beneficial
		Kingston Retail Park – increase of maximum flood depth of up to 0.1m – moderate adverse	A1079 Ferensway, Carr Lane, Upper Union Street and surrounding streets – reductior in maximum flood depth of up to 0.1m – moderate beneficia
Tidal – Humber Undefended (VCB In) Figure 13.36	A 1 in 200-year return period event with consideration of climate change (without existing flood defences)	Underpass – increase of maximum flood depth of up to 5.8m – major adverse	A63 Castle Street east of Mytongate Junction – reduction in maximum flood depth of >0.4m – major beneficial
		Westbound diverge slip road – increase of maximum flood depth of >0.5m – major adverse	Myton Street, Roper Street, Osborne Street – reduction in maximum flood depth of up to 0.3m – major beneficial
		Kingston Retail Park – increase in maximum flood depth of up to 0.2m – major adverse	A1079 Ferensway, Carr Lane, West Street – reduction in maximum flood depth of up to 0.1m – moderate beneficial
		Lister Street, English Street and Waverley Street – increase in maximum flood depth of up to 0.1m – moderate adverse	Princes Dock, Princes Dock Street, Posterngate, Market Place and surrounding streets - reduction in maximum flood depth of up to 0.1m - moderate beneficial
		Blanket Row, Blackfriargate, Humber Street, Finkle Street and Sewer Lane - increase in maximum flood depth of up to 0.1m - moderate adverse	Dock Street, Baker Street and Norfolk Street – reduction in maximum flood depth of up to 0.1m – moderate beneficial
Tidal – Humber Undefended (VCB Out) Figure 13.93		Underpass – increase of maximum flood depth of up to 5.8m – major adverse	A63 Castle Street east of Mytongate Junction – reduction in maximum flood depth of >0.4m – major beneficial

	hod	

Flooding source	Scenario	Areas of adverse impact \ magnitude	Areas of beneficial impact \ magnitude
a i	8	Westbound diverge slip road – increase of maximum flood depth of up >0.5m – major adverse	Myton Street, Roper Street, Osborne Street – reduction in maximum flood depth of up to 0.3m – major beneficial
		Kingston Retail Park – increase of maximum flood depth of up to 0.1m – moderate adverse	A1079 Ferensway, Carr Lane, Upper Union Street, West Street and surrounding streets – reduction in maximum flood depth of up to 0.1m – moderate beneficial
Tidal Humber Undefended (VCB In) Figure 13.69	A 1 in 200-year return period event with consideration of extreme (H++) climate change (without	Underpass – increase of maximum flood depth of up to 5.8m – major adverse	A63 Castle Street east of Mytongate Junction – reductior in maximum flood depth of >0.4m – major beneficial
	existing flood defences)	Westbound diverge slip road – increase of maximum flood depth of up >0.5m – major adverse	A1079 Ferensway north of Mytongate Junction, Myton Street, Roper Street and surrounding streets – reduction in maximum flood depth of up to 0.2m – major beneficial
		Kingston Retail Park – increase in maximum flood depth of up to 0.2m – major adverse	A1079 Ferensway north to West Street, Carr Lane, Osborne Street, Upper Union Street and surrounding streets
	122	Lister Street, Waverley Street and English Street – increase in maximum flood depth	reduction in maximum flood depth of up to 0.1m – moderate beneficial
		of up to 0.1m – moderate adverse	Princes Dock, Princes Dock Street, Posterngate, Market Place, Lowgate, Alfred Gelder Street and Dock Street –
	- 3.5	Blanket Row, Blackfriargate, Sewer Lane and Humber Street – increase in maximum flood depth of up to 0.1m – moderate adverse	reduction in maximum flood depth of up to 0,1m – moderate beneficial
Tidal from River Hull (VCB In) Figure 13.43	A 1 in 200-year return period event (tidal barrier fails to close)	Underpass – increase of maximum flood depth of up to 5.8m – major adverse	A63 Castle Street east of Mytongate Junction – reduction in maximum flood depth of >0,4m – major beneficial
	/ / / / / / / / / / / / / / / / / / /	Westbound diverge slip road – increase of maximum flood depth of up >0.5m – major adverse	Eastern and central Kingston Retail Park – reduction in maximum flood depth of up to 0.3m – major beneficial
			Railway Dock Marina and Humber Dock Marina –



Flooding source	Scenario	Areas of adverse impact \ magnitude	Areas of beneficial impact \ magnitude
		Small areas of west of Kingston Retail Park — increase in maximum flood depth of up to 0.3m — major adverse	reduction in maximum flood depth of up to 0.2m – major beneficial
		Will am Street and Porter Street – increase in maximum flood depth of up to 0.2m – major adverse	
	5 ng 18	Princes Dock – increase in maximum flood depth of up to 0.3m – major adverse	
		Blanket Row, Sewer Lane, Finkle Street and Humber Dock Street – increase in maximum flood depth of up to 0.3m – major adverse	
		Humber Street, Queen Street and surrounding streets – increase in maximum flood depth of up 0.1m – moderate adverse	
		Posterngate, Market Place, Fish Street, Dagger Lane and Vicar Lane – increase in maximum flood depth of up 0.3m – major adverse	
Tidal from River Hull (VCB Out) Figure 13.99		Underpass – increase of maximum flood depth of up to 5.8m – major adverse	A63 Castle Street east of Mytongate Junction – reduction in maximum flood depth of >0.4m – major beneficial
	2800	Westbound diverge slip road – increase of maximum flood depth of up >0.5m – major adverse	Myton Street, Osborne Street, St Luke's Street and surrounding streets – reduction in maximum flood depth of up to 0.4m – major beneficial
		Small areas of west of Kingston Retail Park – increase in maximum	Central and eastern Kingston Retail Park – reduction in

Flooding source	Scenario	Areas of adverse impact \ magnitude	Areas of beneficial impact \ magnitude
		flood depth of up to	maximum flood depth of up to
		0.3m - major adverse	0.3m – major beneficial
	6.5	William Street and	Humber Dock Marina and
		Porter Street -	Railway Dock Marina -
	411	increase in maximum	reduction in maximum flood
		flood depth of up to 0.2m - major adverse	depth of up to 0.2m - major beneficial
		18 5 7 7	
		Princes Dock -	0 11 2.
	The state of the s	increase in maximum	
110		flood depth of up to 0.2m - major adverse	- 1
		J.E.III IIIIJOI UUVCIGO	
		Blanket Row, Humber	20
		Street, Queen Street	
		and surrounding streets – increase in	
	I IX	maximum flood depth	500
		up to 0.1m -	<
		moderate adverse	
		Madas Diago Mari	
		Market Place, Vicar Lane, Fish Street and	
		Dagger Lane –	
		increase in maximum	
	1 2	flood depth of up to 0.3m - major adverse	8. 0.0
Tidal from River Hull	A 1 in 1000-year	Underpass – increase	A63 Castle Street east of
(VCB In)	return period event	of maximum flood	Mytongate Junction - reductio
Figure 13.46	(tidal barrier fails to	depth of up to 5.8m -	in maximum flood depth of
	close)	major adverse	>0.4m – major beneficial
		Westbound diverge	A1079 Ferensway, Osborne
		slip road – increase of	Street, St Luke's Street and
		maximum flood depth	surrounding streets - decreas
	7	of up >0.5m - major adverse	in maximum flood depth of up to >0.5m - major beneficial
		2040190	10 20.5m - major beneficial
	3 = 1, 11 "	Kingston Retail Park -	Porter Street, Waterhouse
		increase in maximum	Lane, West Street, Prospect
		flood depth of up to	Street and surrounding streets
	18 0	0.3m - major adverse	- reduction in maximum flood depth of up to 0.3m - major
	5	Waverley Street -	beneficial
		increase in maximum	y v
		flood depth of up to	
		0.3m - major adverse	
		Edgar Street, William	
	8	Street and Porter	
	N .	Street - increase in	
	71	maximum flood depth	

Flooding source	Scenario	Areas of adverse impact \ magnitude	Areas of beneficial impact \ magnitude
ty, HE		of up to 0,2m - major adverse	
		Blanket Row, Queen Street, Nelson Street and surrounding streets – increase in maximum flood depth of up to 0.1m – moderate adverse	
		Princes Dock and Princes Dock Street – increase in maximum flood depth of up to 0.1m – moderate adverse	
Tidal from River Hull (VCB Out) Figure 13.99		Underpass – increase of maximum flood depth of up to 5.8m – major adverse	A63 Castle Street east of Mytongate Junction – reductior in maximum flood depth of >0.4m – major beneficial
		Westbound diverge slip road – increase of maximum flood depth of up >0.5m – major adverse	Humber Dock Marina and Railway Dock Marina – decrease of maximum flood depth of up to 0.3m – major beneficial
		Kingston Retail Park – increase in maximum flood depth of up to 0.2m – major adverse	Osborne Street, St Luke's Street, Myton Street – reduction in maximum flood depth of greater than 0.4m – major beneficial
		Waverley Street – increase in maximum flood depth of up to 0.4m – major adverse	Waterhouse Lane, Porter Street and A1079 Ferensway - reduction in maximum flood depth of up to 0.3m - major beneficial
		Lister Street, Edgar Street and William Street – increase in	Margaret Moxon Way, West
		maximum flood depth of up to 0.2m - major adverse	Street, North Street and Wright Street – reduction in maximum flood depth of up to 0.2m – major beneficial
		Porter Street – increase in maximum flood depth of up to 0.1m – moderate adverse	
		Princes Dock and Princes Dock Street –	



Areas of adverse impact \ magnitude Flooding source Areas of beneficial impact \ magnitude increase of maximum flood depth of up to 0.1m - moderate adverse Blanket Row, Sewer Lane, Finkle Street, Fish Street, Dagger Land and Vicar Lane increase of maximum flood depth of up to 0.1m - moderate adverse Combined fluvial and No change in flood depths A 1 in 200-year return No change in flood tidal from River Hull period event (tidal depths across Scheme across Scheme and study area Figure 14.53 barrier fails to close) and study area -- neutral neutral No change in flood depths across Scheme and study area Combined fluvial and A 1 in 1000-year No change in flood tidal from River Hull return period event depths across Scheme Figure 14.56 (tidal barrier fails to and study area -- neutral close) neutral



Potential impact	Feature	Attribute	Quality	Importance Mitigation	Mitigation	Magnitude of impact	Significance
Alteration of flood flow routes due to the changes in ground levels and construction of structures	Humber floodplain	Conveyance of flow	Properties within the floodplain	Very high	Underpass drainage designed for 1 in 100-year, plus 30% allowance for climate change, rainfall event.  Emergency procedures in case of pump failure or extreme flooding event including no/minimal warnings in the event of a flood defence breach (Flood Emergency and	Ranges from MederateMajor beneficial to Major adverse depending on the location, source and extent of flooding and return period of event, Further detail is provided in Error! Reference source not found	Very Large adverse to Large-Very Large beneficial



### ES Table 16.7: Significance of combined effects (revised)

Receptor	Cultural fea	tures	Residential p	roperty	Community a business	menities and
	Construction	Operation	Construction	Operation	Construction	Operation
Air quality	- 1	-	Not significant adverse	Not significant adverse	Not significant adverse	Not significant adverse
Noise and vibration	Negligible increase	Not significa nt	Significant adverse	Significant adverse to significant beneficial	Minor increase	Not significant
Cultural heritage	Large adverse	Large adverse			Large adverse	Large adverse
Landscape	Large adverse landscape	Large adverse	Moderate adverse landscape	Significant adverse and beneficial visual	Large adverse landscape	Large adverse
Ecology and nature conservation	Large adverse	Large adverse	-	0	Large adverse	Large adverse
Road drainage and the water environment	3113	1	Very large beneficial to very large adverse	Very large beneficial to very large adverse	Very large beneficial to very large adverse	Very large beneficial to very large adverse
Geology and soils	No significant adverse or benefic al residual effects					
Materials	No significant adverse or beneficial residual effects					
People and communities	Moderate adverse	Moderat e adverse	XIII.	•	Moderate adverse	Moderate adverse
Effects on all travellers		No s	ignificant adver	se or beneficial re	sidual effects	
Overall Significance of Combined Effects		8	Mod	derate adverse		(8)



6.2 Environmental Statement Volume 2 Figures 2.5.4 & 2.5.6 (APP-025)

- 3.1.1 Replace ES Volume 2 Figure 2.5.4 The Scheme Proposals Sheet 3 of 6 and Figure 2.5.6 The Scheme Proposals Sheet 5 of 6 (APP-025) with the new Figures 2.5.4 and 2.5.6 below. Changes are as follows:
  - Locations of the combined footpath & cycleway have been clarified.



# 6 6.7 Ecology and Nature Conservation Assessment (APP-065)

Table 6.1: Ecology and Nature Conservation Assessment

Page	Paragraph/ Table	Published text	Correction
25	Table 10.4 Non- statutory designated sites (row 13)	SVCI   Fundament   Superior   S	Remove row 13 from table
44	Table 10.8 Summary of valuation of ecological receptors, Ecological receptor column (row 3)	Trinity Burial Ground SNCI, River Hull SNCI	Trinity Burial Ground SNCI, River Hull SNCI, Mudflats to the south of Sammy's Point SNCI
49	10.7.17	River Hull SNCI Direct impacts to the River Hull SNCI	River Hull SNCI and Mudflats to the south of Sammy's Point SNCI
		are unlikely.	Direct impacts to the River Hull SNCI and Mudflats to the south of Sammy's Point SNCI are unlikely.
56	10.7.54	River Hull SNCI	River Hull SNCI and Mudflats to the south of Sammy's Point SNCI
		Road drainage would not discharge to the River Hull during the Operation Phase and there would therefore be no risks to water quality within the river.	Road drainage would not discharge to the River Hull during the Operation Phase and would not impact upon the River Hull SNCI or Mudflats to the south of Sammy's Point SNCI. There would therefore be no risks to water quality within the river.
60	Table 10.9 Characteris ation process of ecological	n/a	Replace Table 10.9 with revised Table 10.9 (see above). Impacts are separated into a column for construction and a column for operation as requested in WQ1.2.6 (new/revised text in red).
	impacts		Replacement table also takes into account changes arising from mudflats to the south of Sammy's Point SNCI as requested in WQ1.2.2 (new/revised text in red).
75	10.8.11	River Hull SNCI Neutral residual impacts are predicted to the River Hull SNCI during the Construction Phase, following the implementation of pollution protection mitigation measures.	River Hull SNCI and Mudflats to the south of Sammy's Point SNCI  Neutral residual impacts are predicted to the River Hull SNCI and Mudflats to the south of Sammy's Point SNCI during the Construction Phase, following the



Page	Paragraph/ Table	Published text	Correction
	- TO TO 1		implementation of pollution protection mitigation measures.
78	10.8.31	River Hull SNCI With no increase in noise or air pollution and no water discharges into this river, there is predicted to be neutral residual impacts to the SNCI during operation.	River Hull SNCI and Mudiflats to the south of Sammy's Point SNCI With no increase in noise or air pollution and no water discharges into this river, there is predicted to be neutral residual impacts to these SNCIs during operation.
83	Table 10.10 Summary of ecological receptors, Ecological receptor column (row 4)	River Hull SNC!	River Hull SNCI and Mudflats to the south of Sammy's Point SNCI
87	Table 10.10 Summary of ecological receptors, Ecological receptor column (row 11)	Aquatic Invertebrates Humber Estuary SSSI River Hull SNCI	Aquatic Invertebrates Humber Estuary SSSI River Hull SNCI Mudflats to the south of Sammy's Point SNCI

# 7 6.11 Register of Environmental Actions and Commitments (APP-068)

**Table 7.1: Register of Environmental Actions and Commitments** 

Page	Reference	Published text	Correction
34	E5	Clearance of potential nesting habitat outside breeding season (in particular for bats and birds).	Clearance of potential bird nesting habitat to take place outside of the March – August (inclusive) breeding season.
34	E5	n/a	Add new bullet:  Felling of trees to be undertaken
			only in September/October and April to take account of the sensitive roosting periods for bats.
41	W13	n/a	Add row W13 - see below for details
50	ТЗ	A free 'shuttle bus' service would also be provided during construction, and this would pick up and drop of NMUs at predetermined locations either side of the A63 and would also include wheelchair access facilities.	A free 'shuttle bus' service would also be provided if feasible during construction, and this would pick up and drop of NMUs at predetermined locations either side of the A63 and would also include wheelchair access facilities.
50/51	Footnote 1	Archaeological Project Design     Arboricultural Implications     Assessment     Arboricultural Method Statement;     Landscape and Ecology     Management Plan     Handover Environmental     Marine Mammal Mitigation Plan     Groundwater Monitoring Plan     Erosion Prevention and     Sediment Control Plan     Noise and Vibration     Management Plan     Materials Management Plan     Site Waste Management Plan     Site Waste Management Plan     Foundation Works Risk     Assessment     Materials Logistics Plan     Community Relations Strategy     Traffic and Transport     Management Plan	Archaeological Project Design     Arboricultural Implications     Assessment     Arboricultural Method Statement     Landscape and Ecology     Management Plan     Handover Environmental     Marine Mammal Mitigation Plan     Groundwater Monitoring Plan     Flood Evacuation Plan     Flood Emergency and Evacuation Plan     Flood Emergency and Sediment Control Plan     Noise and Vibration Management Plan     Noise and Vibration Management Plan     Site Waste Management Plan     Foundation Works Risk     Assessment     Materials Logistics Plan     Community Relations Strategy     Traffic and Transport Management Plan



Register of Environmental Actions and Commitments (REAC) (APP68) and Outline Environmental Management Plan (OEMP), Annex B (APP-072) – Add new row W13

Completion	Signature: Date:
When P = Pre- construction C = Construction O = Operation A = All	00
Responsible Person (s)	Contractor
How the Action is to be implemented	Contractual responsibilities between Highways England and the Principal Contractor
Achievement criteria and reporting requirements (if applicable)	Mitigation measures should be included in the CEMP
Action (including any monitoring required)	EA flood warming service to be subscribed to throughout construction if flood alert or flood warming received, information to be shared with relevant personnel.     Emergency procedures documented in the Flood Emergency and Evacuation Plan (FEEP) (ES Volume 3 Appendix 11.2 Appendix B) to be instigated for safe evacuation of the underpass and surrounding areas of the Scheme during operation.     CEMP to include emergency procedures based on the FEEP to evacuate construction footprint in the event of extreme flooding. Procedures to account for all sources of flooding including tidal, oblivial and fluvial flooding.
Objective	To limit impacts of flooding on construction workers and the public
Works informatio Objective n ref.	
DCO ref.	A. III
ES ref.	CHI
Ref	¥ 13



### 8 7.1 Planning Statement (APP-070)

Table 8.1: Planning Statement

Page	Paragraph/Table	Published text	Correction
27	4.4.5	These include the combined footway and cycleway on either side of the A63, new signal controlled crossings at Ferensway and Commercial Road and the removal of vehicle traffic from some routes.	These include the combined footway and cycleway to the north of the A63, upgraded footway provision on the southern side of the A63, new signal controlled crossings at Ferensway and Commercial Road and the removal of vehicle traffic from some routes.
46	6.1.6	The Scheme will provide benefits to NMUs, creating a safer environment by separating NMUs from vehicle traffic and providing a combined footway and cycleway on either side of the A63, and a new signalised crossing at Mytongate Junction to improve access across the A63.	The Scheme will provide benefits to NMUs, creating a safer environment by separating NMUs from vehicle traffic and providing a combined footway and cycleway on the northern side of the A63, upgraded footway provision on the southern side of the A63, and a new signalised crossing at Mytongate Junction to improve access across the A63.

# 9 7.3 Outline Environmental Management Plan (APP-072)

Table 9.1: Outline Environmental Management Plan

Page	Table/Reference	Published text	Correction
16	Table 4.1 Permits, consents and licences	The way \$10 to \$	Remove row from table
18	Table 4.1 Permits, consents and licences	Towing Goldany Special Control	Remove row from table
18/19	Table 4.1 Permits, consents and licences	Source and Michael Summary - State    Toman's Repeated   December 2   December 3	Remove row from table
34	E5	Clearance of potential nesting habitat outside breeding season (in particular for bats and birds).	Clearance of potential bird nesting habitat to take place outside of the March – August (inclusive) breeding season.
34	E5	n/a	Add new bullet:  Felling of trees to be undertaken only in September/October and April to take account of the sensitive roosting periods for bats.
41	W13	n/a	Add row W13 - see above for details
50	Т3	A free 'shuttle bus' service would also be provided during construction, and this would pick up and drop of NMUs at predetermined locations either side of the A63 and would also include wheelchair access facilities.	<ul> <li>A free 'shuttle bus' service would also be provided if feasible during construction, and this would pick up and drop of NMUs at predetermined locations either side of the A63 and would also include wheelchair access facilities.</li> </ul>
50/51	Footnote 1	Archaeological Project Design     Arboricultural Implications     Assessment     Arboricultural Method Statement     Landscape and Ecology     Management Plan     Handover Environmental     Management Plan     Marine Mammal Mitigation Plan     Groundwater Monitoring Plan     Erosion Prevention and Sediment     Control Plan	<ul> <li>Archaeological Project Design;</li> <li>Arboricultural Implications         Assessment</li> <li>Arboricultural Method Statement</li> <li>Landscape and Ecology         Management Plan</li> <li>Handover Environmental         Management Plan</li> <li>Marine Mammal Mitigation Plan</li> <li>Groundwater Monitoring Plan</li> <li>Flood Emergency and Evacuation         Plan</li> </ul>



Page Ta	able/Reference	Published text	Correction
T and a		Noise and Vibration Management Plan     Materials Management Plan     Site Waste Management Plan     Foundation Works Risk Assessment     Materials Logistics Plan     Community Relations Strategy     Traffic and Transport Management Plan	Erosion Prevention and Sediment Control Plan     Noise and Vibration Management Plan     Materials Management Plan     Site Waste Management Plan     Foundation Works Risk Assessment     Materials Logistics Plan     Community Relations Strategy     Traffic and Transport Management



## 10 7.4 Transport Assessment Report (APP-073)

### Table 10.1: Transport Assessment Report

Page	Paragraph/Table	Published text	Correction
47	6.1.2	However, adverse effects would be partially offset through the provision of upgraded facilities such as the combined footway and cycleway on either side of the A63, a new grade separated crossing at Ferensway and Commercial Road, and the removal of vehicle traffic from some routes.	However, adverse effects would be partially offset through the provision of upgraded facilities such as the combined footway and cycleway to the north of the A63, upgraded footway provision on the southern side of the A63, a new grade separated crossing at Ferensway and Commercial Road, and the removal of vehicle traffic from some routes.